

# FAREHAM

## BOROUGH COUNCIL

### Report to Council

**Date**                    **10 February 2015**

**Report of:**            **Director of Planning and Development**

**Subject:**              **Development Sites and Policies Plan Proposed Modifications**

#### **SUMMARY**

The hearing sessions, which formed part of the Development Sites and Policies Plan Examination, closed in November 2014. Following the hearing sessions, the Inspector has issued his Preliminary Findings letter, which is set out as Appendix A to this report. This is part of the Examination process, which is still underway. The Inspector has indicated a number of areas of the Plan, relating to soundness, which the Council should address through the agreement of Main Modifications. In addition, Officers have identified a number of potential Minor Modifications which would improve the clarity and effectiveness of the document. This report sets out all of the proposed Modifications which are proposed to be published for public representations. This is an important step in moving towards receiving the final Inspector's Report on the Plan and a subsequent decision on the adoption of the Plan.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

- (a) that the proposed Main Modifications and Minor Modifications to the Local Plan Part 2: Development Sites and Policies, as set out in Appendices B and C respectively, be published for a six-week representation period commencing on 16 February 2015 and concluding on 30 March 2015, together with a supplementary addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulation Assessment Reports;
- (b) that the Director of Planning and Development, in consultation with the Executive Member for Planning and Development, be authorised to make any necessary minor amendments to the proposed Main and Minor Modifications to the Plan, prior to publication for representations, provided that these do not change the overall direction, shape or emphasis of the documents, and do not raise any significant new issues;
- (c) that the Director of Planning and Development, in consultation with the Executive Member for Planning and Development, be authorised, following the

completion of the representation period, to submit the proposed Modifications to the Secretary of State together with copies of all representations received, along with a request under section 20(7C) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) for the Inspector to recommend to the Council, Modifications to the Plan that would make it sound;

- (d) that the Director of Planning and Development, in consultation with the Executive Member for Planning and Development, be authorised to make any necessary minor amendments to the proposed Main and Minor Modifications to the Plan, prior to submission to the Inspector, provided that these do not change the overall direction, shape or emphasis of the documents, and do not raise any significant new issues; and
- (e) that the proposed Modifications to the Local Plan Part 2: Development Sites and Policies Plan, be endorsed as interim guidance to be afforded due weight in the determination of planning applications.

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. Further to the Council resolution of 13th February 2014, the Publication Version of the Development Sites and Policies Plan was published for a period of public representations and submitted for Examination to the Secretary of State. An independent Inspector was appointed to consider whether the Plan has been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements, and whether it is sound.
2. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that for a Plan to be “sound”, it should be:
  - Positively prepared – the plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development;
  - Justified – the plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence;
  - Effective – the plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities; and
  - Consistent with national policy – the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF.
3. Following submission of the Plan, a series of Examination Hearings took place, commencing on the 11<sup>th</sup> November and concluding on the 19<sup>th</sup> November 2014. The Inspector has now considered the Plan and its supporting evidence, the representations made on the submitted Plan, the discussions at the hearing sessions and the subsequent written material submitted by the Council and other parties. He has now issued his Preliminary Findings letter based on the evidence he has read and heard.
4. This report sets out actions in accordance with the Inspector’s Preliminary Findings letter, which has been published on the Council’s website and included as Appendix A to this report.

## **DEVELOPMENT SITES AND POLICIES PLAN – EXAMINATION HEARINGS**

5. At the Examination Hearings, the Inspector indicated a number of areas where he considered the Plan would need to be changed before it could be considered sound. Under the direction of the Inspector, as part of the Examination process, Council officers prepared a number of documents in response to his concerns which were published on the Council’s website during and after the Examination Hearings. These were the subject of a further three week informal consultation, undertaken on behalf of the Planning Inspector, where all parties who had made a representation on the Development Sites and Policies Plan were invited to respond. Those responses were forwarded to the Inspector for his consideration before he issued his Preliminary Findings letter.

## **PRELIMINARY FINDINGS**

6. The Inspector’s Preliminary Findings letter identifies a number of points relating to soundness which the Council should address through the agreement of Main

Modifications. These all concern issues where the Inspector has already indicated to officers at the Examination Hearings that a change to the Plan was required. Therefore in each case, a response to the required change has already been drafted by officers at the Inspector's request and informally consulted upon on his behalf.

7. Main Modifications are a common feature in Local Plan Examinations. The Borough's Core Strategy was also subject to Modifications prior to it being deemed sound in 2011.
8. In total, 34 modifications are listed by the Inspector. They include –
  - A commitment to a Review of the Local Plan;
  - Clarification of the Council's approach to frontage infill outside defined settlement boundaries;
  - Clarification of the Council's approach to the change of use of land outside the settlement boundaries to garden land;
  - A new policy on Affordable Housing Exception Sites;
  - Portchester District Centre; include reference to foodstore floorspace and retaining parking levels and boundary change to remove residential properties and community facilities and amendment to supporting text;
  - Increasing the flexibility in the delivery of housing;
  - Housing site H7 – Fleet End Road, Warsash; amend plan to show only one access point (Shorewood Close);
  - Housing site H11 – Heath Road, Locks Heath; amend plan to include two potential access points;
  - Refer to support for self-build scheme (sites H12 Land at Stubbington Lane and H13 Land at Sea Lane)
  - Clarification of the Council's approach to facilitating development for older people;
  - Updates on Newgate Lane, Peel Common roundabout and Stubbington by-pass and consequential changes to the Policy Map;
  - Up-date housing figures; and
  - Revise the monitoring section of the Plan.
9. The Inspector's Preliminary Findings letter also included a paragraph, following the proposed Modifications, in which the Inspector provided his conclusion on the need to review the Borough's settlement boundaries. There were discussions at the Hearing Sessions around the need for a boundary review, which was referenced in the adopted Core Strategy. However, the Inspector concluded that: *"Having considered all the evidence, my conclusion is that such a review at this time is not justified because there are sufficient sites identified in LP2 (Development Sites and Policies Plan) to accommodate the identified housing requirement in the CS (Core Strategy), without the need to alter existing settlement boundaries."* The Inspector did indicate that a review of the boundaries may be required in any future review of the Plan, but regarding the

Development Sites and Policies Plan his conclusions mean that no modifications are required to the existing settlement boundaries.

10. Following the Inspector's Preliminary Findings, the Council now needs to consider the proposed Modifications to the Plan in order to proceed with the next stage of the Examination process.

### **MODIFICATIONS TO THE PLAN**

11. As outlined in paragraph 4 above, for each of the proposed Main Modifications to the Plan identified by the Inspector, an amendment to the Plan has been drafted. In addition, during the course of the Examination, officers have identified a number of additional, more minor areas where the Plan could be improved by changes which do not relate to "soundness" but nonetheless improve the Plan and which are referred to as proposed Minor Modifications. These include changes arising as a consequence of the proposed Main Modifications, updates, typographical amendments to the supporting text and other clarity changes which the Inspector has determined would not constitute Main Modifications. The proposed Main Modifications are set out in Appendix B, with the proposed Minor Modifications set out in Appendix C. Appendices B and C combined contain all of the proposed changes to the text of the Development Sites & Policies Plan.
12. Both the Main and Minor Modifications to the Plan do result in some amendments to the Policies Map; these are included as sub-appendices to each Appendix.
13. Finally, Appendix D to this report contains an addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment to the Development Sites and Policies Plan as revised by the proposed Main Modifications.

### **NEXT STEPS**

14. Should Members endorse these changes, then both the proposed Main Modifications and the Minor Modifications to the Plan, as set out in Appendix B and Appendix C respectively, will be published for public representations commencing on 16 February 2015 and concluding on 30 March 2015.
15. Following the period of representations, the Council must forward all representations received to the Inspector, together with a brief response to the submissions received including any potential further changes, as well as a short commentary on any implications of the Main Modifications in terms of the sustainability appraisal. The Council may then issue the Inspector with a formal request under section 20(7C) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) for him to recommend Modifications to the Development Sites and Policies Plan that would make it sound.
16. Following that, the Inspector will finalise his report and confirm what changes to the Plan are required to make the Plan sound. These changes will be reported back for Member approval before the Plan can be adopted.

### **RISK ASSESSMENT**

17. If the Council decides not to proceed with the proposed Modifications as directed by the Inspector then the Development Sites and Policies Plan will not be found sound. Failure to deliver a sound Development Sites and Policies Plan would mean that the vision for development in the Borough, as set out in the adopted Core Strategy would

not be completed.

18. The Development Sites and Policies Plan is required to set out how the Council will meet the development expectations of the Council, as set out in the Core Strategy. The Plan also sets out a range of Policies which seek to guide and manage development proposals, which will enable Development Management to make robust decisions on individual applications. Without the Plan the Council will be at risk of being unable to resist unnecessary and undesirable development in the Borough.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

19. That the following recommendations be considered by Council:
  - (a) that the proposed Main Modifications and Minor Modifications to the Local Plan Part 2: Development Sites and Policies, as set out in Appendices B and C, be published for a six-week representation period commencing on 16 February 2015 and concluding on 30 March 2015, together with a supplementary addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulation Assessment Reports;
  - (b) that the Director of Planning and Development, in consultation with the Executive Member for Planning and Development, be authorised to make any necessary minor amendments to the Main and Minor Modifications to the Plan, prior to publication for further representations, provided that these do not change the overall direction, shape or emphasis of the documents, and do not raise any significant new issues;
  - (c) that the Director of Planning and Development, in consultation with the Executive Member for Planning and Development, be authorised, following the completion of the representation period, to submit the proposed Modifications to the Secretary of State together with copies of all representations received along with a request under section 20(7C) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) for the Inspector to recommend to the Council, Modifications to the Plan that would make it sound;
  - (d) that the Director of Planning and Development, in consultation with the Executive Member for Planning and Development, be authorised to make any necessary minor amendments to the proposed Main and Minor Modifications to the Plan, prior to submission to the Inspector, provided that these do not change the overall direction, shape or emphasis of the documents, and do not raise any significant new issues; and
  - (e) that the proposed amendments to the Local Plan Part 2: Development Sites and Policies Plan, be endorsed as interim guidance to be afforded due weight in the determination of planning applications.

## **Appendices:**

- Appendix A:** Inspector's Preliminary Findings on the Development Sites and Policies Plan (Development Sites and Policies Plan Examination document reference DID-15)
- Appendix B:** Proposed Main Modifications to Development Sites and Policies Plan Submission Version
- Appendix C:** Proposed Minor Modifications to Development Sites and Policies Plan Submission Version
- Appendix D:** Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment for the Development Sites and Policies Plan

## **Background Papers:**

None

## **Reference Papers:**

Development Sites and Policies Plan Examination Documents

1. DCD-05 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 1 – The Duty to Co-operate, Legal Requirements and the Relationship between the LP2, the Core Strategy and other Planning Documents (October 2014)
2. DCD-06 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 2 – The Existing Settlements (DSP2 to DSP6) (October 2014)
3. DCD-07 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 3 – The Natural Environment (DSP7 to DSP16) (October 2014)
4. DCD-08 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 4 – Employment, including Development Site Briefs (DSP17 to DSP19) (October 2014)
5. DCD-09 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 5 – Locks Heath and Portchester District Centres and other Retail Policies (DS34 to DSP39) (October 2014)
6. DCD-10 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 6 – Fareham Town Centre (DSP20 to DSP33) (October 2014)
7. DCD-11 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 7 – Housing Allocations including alternative sites for consideration (DSP40) (October 2014)
8. DCD-12 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 8 – Other Housing Issues – including gypsies (DSP41 to DSP47) (October 2014)
9. DCD-13 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 9 – Facilities and Infrastructure (DSP48 to DSP59) (October 2014)
10. DCD-14 Fareham Borough Council Statement on Inspector Issue 10 – Delivery and Monitoring (Chapter 8) (October 2014)
11. DCD-20 Action arising from hearing session 2 – The Existing Settlements (DSP2-DSP6) (December 2014)
12. DCD-21 Action arising from hearing session 3 – The Natural Environment (DSP7 to DSP16) (December 2014)

13. DCD-22 Action arising from hearing session 4 –Employment, including Development Site Briefs (DSP17 to DSP19) (December 2014)
14. DCD-23 Action arising from hearing session 5 – Locks Heath and Portchester District Centres and other Retail Policies (DS34 to DSP39) (December 2014)
15. DCD-24 Action arising from hearing session 7 – Housing Allocations including alternative sites for consideration (DSP40) (December 2014)
16. DCD-25 Action arising from hearing session 8 – Other Housing Issues – including gypsies (DSP41 to DSP47) (December 2014)
17. DCD-26 Action arising from hearing session 9 – Facilities and Infrastructure (DSP48 to DSP59) (December 2014)
18. DCD-27 Action arising from hearing session 10 – Delivery and Monitoring (Chapter 8) (December 2014)
20. DCD-28 List of Actions Arising from the Development Sites and Policies Plan Hearing Sessions (December 2014)

**Enquiries:**

For further information on this report please contact Claire Burnett (Ext 4330) or Mark Chevis (Ext 4551)





# The Planning Inspectorate

## FAREHAM LOCAL PLAN PART 2: THE DEVELOPMENT SITES AND POLICES

### MAIN MODIFICATIONS

### INSPECTOR'S PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

This note is without prejudice to any final Report that I may prepare but based on the evidence that I have read and heard I consider there are a number of shortcomings in the document, relating to soundness, which the Council should address through the agreement of Main Modifications (MMs). They all relate to issues that were discussed at the Hearings and are summarised in the table below:

		<b>Modification</b>	<b>Soundness reason</b>
MM1	Para 1.11	Commitment to a Review of the Local Plan	Consistent with national policy
MM2	DSP2	Delete Design policy because superfluous but insert a new sentence	Justified
MM3	DSP3	Refer to dust, smoke, fumes and odour. Delete corresponding references in DSP47	Justified
MM4	DSP5	Clarification regarding the Council's approach to ensuring that development would not prejudice the future use of adjacent land	Justified
MM5	DSP6	Protection of archaeological sites	Justified and effective
MM6	DSP7	Clarification of the Council's approach to frontage infill outside defined settlement boundaries	Positively prepared, justified and effective
MM7	DSP7	Clarification of the Council's approach to the change of use of land outside the settlement boundaries to garden land	Justified
MM8	New Policy and supporting text	New policy on Affordable Housing Exception sites	Positively prepared, justified and effective
MM9	DSP8	Clarification regarding requirements for sequential tests and impact assessments	Justified
MM10	DSP11	Solent Breezes Holiday Park – removal of the reference to seasonal occupation	Justified
MM11	Paras 4.22 and	Greenspace provision	Effective

	4.26		
MM12	Table 3 page 44	Clarification of Employment Floorspace Supply table	Positively prepared, justified and effective
MM13	DSP17	Clarification of Council's approach to change of use within existing employment areas	Effective
MM14	DSP19	Include reference to "historic significance" in first bullet point	Justified
MM15	Employment site E2 Development Brief	Remove reference to "low intensity" development at Little Park Farm	Effective
MM16	DSP25	Refer to listed viaduct	Justified
MM17	DSP32	Deliver townscape improvements	Justified
MM18	Para 5.163	Include reference to foodstore floorspace and retaining existing parking levels at Portchester District Centre	Justified and effective
MM19	Para 5.164	Portchester District Centre: boundary change to remove residential properties and community facilities and amendment to supporting text	Justified and effective
MM20	DSP36	Refer to no reduction in parking spaces	Justified
MM21	DSP40 and para 5.180	Increasing the flexibility in the delivery of housing	Positively prepared, justified and effective
MM22	Housing site H7 – Fleet End Road, Warsash: Development Brief	Amend plan to show only one access point (via Shorewood Close)	Justified and effective
MM23	Housing site H11 – Heath Road: Development Brief	Amend plan to include two potential access points	Justified and effective
MM24	New paragraph 5.182 and Housing sites H12 and H13 Development Briefs	Refer to support for self-build schemes	Justified and positively prepared
MM25	DSP42 and new para after 5.193 amend site briefs H16, H17 and H20 Amend Table 8 (Appendix C)	Clarification of the Council's approach to facilitating development for older people	Justified and positively prepared
MM26	DSP47	Remove references to sunlight etc. (see MM3 above)	Justified
MM27	DSP49, paras 6.11, 6.12, 6.19-6.22 and Policy Map	Updates on Newgate Lane, Peel Common roundabout and Stubbington by-pass and consequential changes to the Policy Map	Justified
MM28	DSP50 and supporting text	Clarification of the Council's approach towards access to Whiteley – removal of references to the Yew Tree Drive link	Justified

MM29	DSP54	Include reference to protecting the Grace Dieu wreck	Justified and consistent with national policy
MM30	Para 6.30 and 6.31	Insert references to cycle/pedestrian link provision	Justified
MM31	Table 4 and appendices D-G	Up-date housing figures	Justified and effective
MM32	Employment Development Briefs	Include an indicative floorspace capacity figure	Justified and effective
MM33	Table 5 Monitoring	Revise whole section	Justified and effective
MM34	Policies Map	Amend brent geese/waders sites	Justified

At the hearing sessions I confirmed that I would await the receipt of the further representations on the Council's post-hearing submissions before deciding on whether or not a comprehensive review of the settlement boundaries is required. The text of paragraph 5.27 of the Core Strategy (CS) indicates that a review of the settlement boundaries (outside the town centre Area Action Plan area) is anticipated. Although the reference is only in the CS supporting text I have nevertheless afforded it weight. However, I consider it is reasonable to interpret the Council's intentions as *if necessary* (my emphasis). There would be little justification, particularly in terms of making the best use of time and resources, for undertaking a boundary review if sufficient land to accommodate the development needs of the Borough (as set out in the CS) could be confirmed, in response to my question 2.1 (Core Document DCD-06), that it was the intention to undertake the review only if the aforementioned development needs could not be met in the existing identified settlements. Having considered all the evidence, my conclusion is that such a review at this time is not justified because there are sufficient sites identified in LP2 to accommodate the identified housing requirement in the CS, without the need to alter existing settlement boundaries. However, I would strongly advise the Council that consideration should be given to including such an exercise, as a component of the review of the local plan (which is scheduled to start this year), thus ensuring that any review document is justified (i.e. the most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives).

There are a small number of other changes being proposed by the Council (following the hearing sessions) but they are minor in nature and therefore not before me for consideration and in any event I understand that they will be consulted on by the Council.

On this basis I am therefore inviting the Council to make a formal request under section 20(7C) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) for me to recommend Modifications to the plan that would make it sound.

Following consultation on the MMs the Council should send me a copy of the submissions received; a brief response to those submissions and a short commentary on any implications of the MMs in terms of the sustainability appraisal.

***David Hogger***

Inspector

22<sup>nd</sup> January 2015

**Appendix B: Proposed Main Modifications**

This appendix comprises a schedule of all Main Modifications that are proposed to the Development Sites and Policies Plan Submission Version (June 2014) (Development Sites and Policies Plan Examination Document Reference DSD01). The changes proposed in this document have arisen through the Council’s Statements on the Inspector’s Issues and Questions, through discussion during the Examination Hearing sessions and through the Council’s responses to the Inspector’s request for Additional Material.

This appendix comprises of any changes to the Plan considered necessary to enact the Main Modifications identified by the Inspector in his Preliminary Findings letter. All of these changes will be considered as part of the Examination and will be subject to a six-week period for Public representations commencing 16 February 2015.

All changes are shown as additional text underlined and deleted text ~~struck through~~.

<b>Mod Ref:</b>	<b>Plan Ref.</b>	<b>Proposed Main Modification</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>	<b>Source of modification</b>
DMM1	Page 8 Paragraph 1.11	<p><del>The Council's commitment to an early review of the Local Plan is reiterated in the Local Development Scheme.</del> <u>The Council is committed to review the Local Plan, and this is set out in the Local Development Scheme (Revised September 2014 ), which was agreed at Fareham Borough Council's Executive Meeting on the 1st September 2014. The Council's timetable for the Local Plan Review allows the Authority to take account of the current review of the South Hampshire Strategy. The timetable for the review of the Local Plan is as follows:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>• Summer 2016 – Consultation on draft Local Plan (Regulation 18)</u></li> <li><u>• Summer 2017 – Publication of pre-submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)</u></li> <li><u>• Autumn 2017 – Submission to Secretary of State (Regulation 22)</u></li> <li><u>• Winter 2017 – Examination (Regulation 24)</u></li> <li><u>• Spring/Summer 2018 – Adoption (Regulation 26)</u></li> </ul>	To re-emphasise the Council commitment to review the Local Plan.	Proposed in the Council’s Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 1 (DCD-05)

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		<u>The Local Plan Review undertaken by the Council will be comprehensive in nature, updating and reviewing the adopted Core Strategy, Development Sites and Policies and Welborne Plans, to form one Local Plan.</u>		
DMM2	Page 15 Paragraph 3.16 and Policy DSP2	<p>Core Strategy Policy CS17: High Quality Design sets out key design principles that should be adhered to in all proposals in the Borough. These design principles will be supplemented by the Design Supplementary Planning Document (SPD), which will provide additional detail and set standards for internal and external spaces. <u>The Council expects all new development to adhere to the Principles of CS17, whilst having due regard to the additional guidance within the Design Guidance (Excluding Welborne) SPD.</u></p> <p><del>Policy DSP2: Design</del></p> <p><del>All new development in the Borough should be consistent with the principles set out in Core Strategy Policy CS17: High Quality Design, and the Design Supplementary Planning Document.</del></p>	The policy is superfluous as CS17 is already part of the adopted development plan, and the Design SPD will become a material consideration once adopted.	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 1 (DCD-05)
DMM3	Page 17 Policy DSP3	<p><b>Policy DSP23: Environmental Impact</b></p> <p><b>Development proposals should not, individually, or cumulatively, have a significant adverse impact, either on neighbouring development, adjoining land, or the wider environment, by reason of noise, <del>dust, fumes,</del> heat, <del>smoke,</del> liquids, vibration, light or air pollution <u>(including dust, smoke, fumes or odour).</u></b></p>	For clarification that air pollution considerations should include dust, smoke, fumes and odour.	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 8 (DCD-12)
DMM4	Pages 17-18 Paragraph	<p><del>'Ransom Strips' Preventing Prejudice to the Development of Adjacent Land</del></p> <p>In order to ensure that development makes the most efficient use of land, comprehensive</p>	To clarify the Council's approach	Discussed in the Issue 2 hearing

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
	3.25 and Policy DSP5	<p>schemes <u>for larger sites</u> will be encouraged where possible. The Council has a long-standing policy of seeking <u>to ensure that any permitted development does not prejudice the development of adjacent land, where there are sound planning reasons to secure the development of a larger site, prevent the establishment of ransom strips which by preventing access from the approved development to other areas of the larger site to adjacent land.</u> This <u>principle was re-emphasised established</u> in the Core Strategy Policy CS15: Sustainable Development and Climate Change, which states that "<i>Development must not prejudice the development of a larger site</i>". Developers will be expected to enter into legal agreements to ensure prejudice to <u>the development of adjacent land</u> is avoided as smaller parcels of land are developed. Where legal agreements are deemed necessary, but not subsequently achieved, proposals may be refused in line with CS15 and DSP5.</p> <p><b>Policy <u>DSP45: 'Ransom Strips' Prejudice to Adjacent Land</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Where piecemeal development could delay or prevent the provision of access comprehensive development of a larger site to adjacent land, a legal agreement will be required sought, to ensure that any permitted development does not prejudice the development of adjacent land prevent the use of land as a 'ransom strip' and that highway access, pedestrian access and services to adjoining land are provided.</u></b></p>	regarding the prejudice of development of adjacent land	session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-20
DMM5	Page 23 Policy DSP6 (second to last paragraph)	<b>The Council will conserve Scheduled Monuments, <u>and archaeological sites that are demonstrably of national significance, by supporting proposals that sustain and where appropriate enhance their heritage significance. Proposals that unacceptably harm their heritage significance, including their setting, will not be permitted.</u></b>	To provide further clarification that archaeological sites of national significance shall be afforded due	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 2 (DCD-06)

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
DMM6 and DMM7	Page 25 Paragraph 4.6 and DSP7	<p>The Borough Council will protect the areas outside of the DUSBs from development that would adversely affect the landscape character, appearance, and function, by avoiding non-essential residential development, including <u>unacceptable</u> changes of use to residential garden <del>area</del><u>land</u>. Subject to other planning policies, exceptions may be made for the conversion of existing buildings, one-for-one replacement of existing dwellings, <u>infill between existing residential frontages</u> or where there is a proven requirement for a new dwelling to support an agricultural worker's employment requirements to live in close proximity to their place of work.</p> <p><b>Policy DSP7 New Residential Development Outside of the Defined Urban Settlement Boundaries</b></p> <p><b>There will be a presumption against new residential development outside of the defined urban settlement boundaries (as identified on the Policies Map). New residential development will be permitted in instances where <u>one or more of the following apply</u>:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. It has been demonstrated that there is an essential need for a rural worker to live permanently at or near his/her place of work; or</li> <li>ii. It involves a conversion of an existing non-residential building where; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the buildings proposed for conversion are of permanent and substantial construction and do not require major or complete reconstruction; and</li> <li>b) evidence has been provided to demonstrate that no other suitable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>weight</p> <p>To allow for acceptable frontage infill development and changes of use to residential gardens in areas outside of defined urban settlement boundaries.</p>	<p>Discussed in the Issue 3 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-21</p>

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
----------	-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

alternative uses can be found and conversion would lead to an enhancement to the building's immediate setting.

**iii. It comprises one or two new dwellings which infill an existing and continuous built-up residential frontage, where:**

- a) **the new dwellings and plots are consistent in terms of size and character to the adjoining properties and would not harm the character of the area; and**
- b) **it does not result in the extension of an existing frontage or the consolidation of an isolated group of dwellings; and**
- c) **it does not involve the siting of dwellings at the rear of the new or existing dwellings.**

A change of use of land outside of the defined urban settlement boundary to residential garden will ~~only be not normally be permitted unless where: other environmental benefits can be secured.~~

- i. **it is in keeping with the character, scale and appearance of the surrounding area; and**
- ii. **it will not detract from the existing landscape; and**
- iii. **it respects views into and out of the site.**

New buildings should be well-designed to respect the character of the area and, where possible, should be grouped with existing buildings.

Proposals should have particular regard to the requirements of Core Strategy Policy CS14: Development Outside Settlements, and Core Strategy Policy CS6: The Development Strategy. They should avoid the loss of significant trees, should not have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of residents, and should not result in



Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
<p><b>unacceptable environmental or ecological impacts, or detrimental impact on the character or landscape of the surrounding area.</b></p>				
DMM8	<p>Page 26 New Paragraphs and Policy</p>	<p><b><u>Affordable Housing Exception Sites</u></b></p> <p><u>The Council is committed to delivering affordable housing to meet the needs of the Borough. The majority of the Borough's affordable housing needs will be met through existing commitments and as a percentage of the Borough's housing allocations (DSP40) in line with Core Strategy Policy CS18. However, where, through the Council's monitoring or other evidence, it can be demonstrated that the levels of affordable dwellings (as defined by the NPPF) being delivered through the above methods are not meeting the target levels set out in Policy CS18 of the Core Strategy, the Council will consider granting planning permission for affordable housing on sites outside the existing urban area boundaries.</u></p> <p><u>Proposals for affordable housing exception sites must be accompanied by satisfactory evidence which demonstrates that the tenure, scale and mix of affordable housing proposed will meet an unmet need in the Borough. Affordable housing need should be calculated as the required proportion (as set out in Policy CS18) of the projected total housing requirements set out in the Core Strategy. Applicants will be expected to enter legal agreements to ensure that where affordable units are permitted on exception sites they are retained for this use in perpetuity. Proposals will only be considered where they are brought forward, and managed, by a not for profit social housing provider that is regulated by the Homes and Community Agency (HCA).</u></p> <p><u>Whilst affordable housing exception sites will be considered outside of existing urban boundaries, it is important that such sites are not isolated. Therefore, permission will only be granted where sites are both adjacent to, and well related to, the existing urban area boundaries to ensure they can be well integrated with the existing settlements of the Borough. Sites must be well designed to reflect the character of the neighbouring</u></p>	<p>To ensure the Council can deliver sufficient affordable housing to meet the requirements of the Core Strategy</p>	<p>Discussed in the Issue 7 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-24</p>

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		<p><u>settlement, but also to minimise impacts on the countryside and, where relevant, Strategic Gaps. To ensure proposals do not dominate existing settlement areas, and to minimise the impact on the countryside, the Council will only permit small scale affordable housing exception sites. For the purposes of this Policy “small scale” development is considered to be around 10 dwellings. However, where the need is justified, schemes for up to 20 dwellings may be permitted adjacent to the Borough’s larger settlements.</u></p> <p><b><u>DSP7 Affordable Housing Exceptions Sites</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Where there is clear evidence that affordable housing delivery is not meeting the target levels set out in Policy CS18 of the Core Strategy (excluding Welborne), planning permission may be granted for affordable housing on sites outside the existing urban area boundaries. Such proposals will only be permitted where:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>100% affordable (as defined in the NPPF) units (net) are provided;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>The development is of a small scale and is located adjacent to, and well related to, the existing urban settlement boundaries;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>It is sensitively designed to reflect the character of the neighbouring settlement and to minimise any adverse impact on the Countryside and, if relevant, the Strategic Gaps;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>It will be brought forward by, and will be managed by, a not for profit social housing provider who is regulated by the Homes and Community Agency;</u></b> <b><u>and</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>It is subject to a legal agreement to ensure that the units will be retained as affordable housing in perpetuity.</u></b></li> </ul>		
DMM9	Page 26 Policy DSP8 (first paragraph)	<p><b>Proposals for leisure and recreation development outside of the defined urban settlement boundaries (as identified on the Policies Map) will be permitted, where <u>they do not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the strategic and/or local road network and, for main town centre uses:</u></b></p>	To clarify that the requirement for a sequential	Proposed in the Council’s Statement on Issues and

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		<p>I. they meet the requirements of a sequential test; and            II. subject to their scale, they meet the requirements of an impact assessment.  <del>III. they do not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the strategic and/or local road network</del></p>	<p>test and impact assessment only applies to "main town centre uses".</p>	<p>Questions Issue 2 (DCD-07)</p>
DMM10	<p>Pages 30 and 31 Paragraphs 4.19-4.20 and Policy DSP11</p>	<p>Proposals for developing caravans for holiday accommodation purposes, or the conversion of existing properties, or development or intensification of any existing development within Solent Breezes, will have a condition <u>restricting occupancy to holiday use only and for a</u> <del>that limits occupancy to a maximum of ten months each calendar year.</del>  <del>Limited</del> <u>These holiday occupancy conditions will apply to new holiday accommodation or other forms of development within Solent Breezes Holiday Park to ensure consistency with other policy aims of controlling development outside the defined urban settlements boundaries. Where a limited occupancy condition is attached to the permission, it is expected that the vacant months will be during the winter months. Potential impacts on the landscape and other nature conservation designations and the flood risk areas around the Coastal Zone will be particularly important in determining proposals relating to Policy DSP11: Development Within Solent Breezes Holiday Park.</u></p>	<p>To clarify the Council's position regarding development proposals within Solent Breezes Holiday Park</p>	<p>Discussed in the Issue 3 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-21</p>
<p><b>Policy DSP11: Development Proposals within Solent Breezes Holiday Park</b></p>				
<p><b><u>Within the Solent Breezes Holiday Park (as defined on the Policies Map), planning permission, or proposals to vary condition on existing permissions, will not be granted to vary planning conditions to allow the occupation of any existing chalets or caravans and mobile homes on a permanent or year-round basis. To ensure this, all permissions will be subject to holiday occupancy conditions, including maximum occupancy of 10 months in a calendar year. Permissions will only be granted for holiday occupation provided all the following criteria are met:</u></b></p>				
<p><b><u>i. the holiday accommodation is of a high standard and appropriate for the</u></b></p>				

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		<p><u>time of proposed use;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>it can be demonstrated how the prevention of accommodation for permanent residential use will be managed, monitored and enforced, to be agreed between the Council and site/property owner or operator in advance;</u></p> <p>iii. <u>the submission of a Coastal Change Vulnerability Assessment that identifies that the proposal will result in no increased risk to life or significant increase in risk to property; and</u></p> <p>iv. <u>where it can be demonstrated that the proposal will not have an adverse impact on the SPA.</u></p> <p><del>Planning permission for new chalets, static caravans or other holiday accommodation will only be granted, subject to appropriate conditions limiting their use to holiday accommodation on a seasonal basis for not more than ten months in each calendar year.</del></p>		
DMM11	Pages 31 and 32 Paragraphs 4.22 and 4.36	<p>The NPPF requires local planning authorities to ensure that sufficient open space is provided to meet the needs of communities. The Greenspace Study (2007) and its Addendum provide an audit of open space provision across the Borough. This audit is considered against the open space standards set out in the Core Strategy to highlight areas in the Borough which are either in deficit or surplus of open space provision. <u>Although overall the Borough is in surplus in both Natural Greenspace and Parks and Amenity Open Space there are a number of wards that experience shortages in one or both types of provision. Table 18 of the Green Space study Addendum 2 provides further detail on this. In order to assist in addressing some of these deficiencies two new publicly accessible open spaces have been allocated.</u></p> <p>In addition to the new open space allocations, the Council <u>has a threefold approach will explore opportunities to address any further open space deficiencies in the Borough through a land management approach. This approach includes the Council identifying new deliverable sites through a variety of means, including projects proposed through the</u></p>	To clarify the Borough's current open space provision and approach to addressing Open Space Provision.	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 2 (DCD-07) and further discussed in the Issue 3 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
----------	-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

Green Infrastructure Study. The Council will also support, and where appropriate, work with partner organisations to bring forward additional opportunities, including provision that may come forward as part of development proposals. Furthermore, the Council will explore opportunities to use existing open space more effectively. may include the exploration of opportunities to transfer existing types of open space to other typologies in deficit, rights of access agreements and lease arrangements. Furthermore Qualitative improvements to existing open space are proposed through the Council's Open Space Improvement Programme, which aims to increase the accessibility and attractiveness of public open space so it is available and attractive to a larger population.

the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-21

DMM12 Page 44  
Table 3  
and  
paragraph  
5.9

Source	B1 (Offices)	B2/B8 (Industrial/Warehousing)	B1-B8 (General Employment)	Total
<b>Completions 2011-2013</b>	200	<del>4,030</del> <u>1,300</u>	<del>270</del>	1,500
<b>Excess Vacancies</b>	3,400	8,600		12,000
<b>Permissions</b>	25,800	<u>7,100</u>	<del>7,100</del>	32,900
<b>Potential Sites</b>				
Solent Enterprise Zone	2,300	47,900		50,200
Little Park Farm		<u>11,200</u>	<del>11,200</del>	11,200
Kites Croft		<u>3,090</u>	<del>3,090</del>	3,090
<b>Welborne</b>	4,400	15,900		20,300
<b>Total</b>	<b>36,100</b>	<b><del>73,430</del> <u>95,090</u></b>	<b><del>21,660</del></b>	<b>131,190</b>
Requirement	40,700	59,400	0	100,100

To clarify the potential use class likely to be delivered in the Council's supply. Previous column of B1-8 (General Employment) considered to be to ambiguous, so the column is deleted with figures moved into appropriate alternative column.

Discussed in the Issue 4 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-22

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification				Reason for Change	Source of modification
		Surplus/Deficit	-4,600	+14,030 <u>+35,690</u>	+21,660	+31,090	

*Amended paragraph 5.9*

Although the supply of office floorspace appears to be insufficient there are a number of important factors to consider. Firstly, there is a substantial part of the potential overall supply in B2/B8 uses (shown from above) which is shown as B1-B8 (21,660sq.m), where the final use has yet to be determined of 35,690sq.m. It is conceivable that a proportion of this supply could, instead, come forward will be for offices, although it is difficult to ascertain at this juncture what this figure is likely to be and the flexible policies in the Plan would allow that to happen.

DMM13	Page 46 Paragraph 5.17 and Policy DSP17 (last paragraph)	Where it can clearly be demonstrated that a building or site in one of the Borough's existing employment areas is no longer suitable and viable for economic development uses, alternative uses may be considered. However, all <u>appropriate</u> alternative forms of economic development should be considered before other uses, such as housing, will be deemed acceptable. A comprehensive marketing exercise over a twelve-month period, <u>ending within 3 months of the submission of the planning application</u> , should be completed prior to an application being submitted, in order to clearly demonstrate a site's lack of suitability for economic development uses. <u>In order to establish whether the marketing exercise has been satisfactorily comprehensive, applicants will be expected to submit details of the length of the vacancy, the agents used to promote the sale/letting (including contact details), advertising methods used, information regarding any interest received during that time and why any interest (if any) was not pursued.</u>	To clarify the information required when considering change of use applications in existing employment areas	Discussed in the Issue 4 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-22
		<b>Changes of use or redevelopment within the <u>existing</u> employment areas that would result in a loss of floorspace for economic development uses will <del>be</del> not be permitted unless:</b>		

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All <u>appropriate</u> alternative forms of economic development have been considered;</li> <li>• It can be clearly demonstrated that the land or building is not fit for purpose and is <u>modernisation or redevelopment for employment uses</u> would be financially unviable; and</li> <li>• The proposal is accompanied by details of <b>extensive</b> marketing of the vacant site/building covering a period of not fewer than twelve months.</li> </ul>				
DMM14	Page 50 Policy DSP19 (first bullet point)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the built character <u>and historic significance</u> of the area</li> </ul>	For clarification that proposals relating to boatyards will also need to consider the historic significance of the area	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 2 (DCD-06)		
DMM15	Page 126 Development Brief for site E2	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity</td> <td>Employment floorspace (low intensity B1, B2 or B8) of approximately 11,200sq.m</td> </tr> </table>	Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity	Employment floorspace (low intensity B1, B2 or B8) of approximately 11,200sq.m	For clarification	Discussed in the Issue 4 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement
Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity	Employment floorspace (low intensity B1, B2 or B8) of approximately 11,200sq.m					

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
				DCD-22
DMM16	Page 59 Policy DSP25 (second paragraph)	<b>Views into and out of the Waterfront, <u>including those of the listed railway viaduct</u>, should be protected. New development located near Fareham Waterfront or on Market Quay Car Park should be designed sensitively to retain visual links between the Town Centre and the Waterfront.</b>	For clarification of the importance of views into and out of the railway viaduct	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 2 (DCD-06)
DMM17	Page 72 Policy DSP32 (second paragraph)	<b>Proposals will be required to ensure that new buildings are designed <u>to deliver townscape benefits and to front on to Trinity Street and Osborn Road.</u></b>	For clarification that proposals should deliver townscape benefits	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 2 (DCD-06)
DMM18	Page 80 Paragraph 5.163	<del>The 2012 Retail Study concludes that Portchester District Centre requires some additional retail floorspace during the plan period. "Portchester could accommodate a foodstore of around 800-900sq.m net through an increase in market share" (GVA 2012). The Council will ensure that any new proposals are well integrated to the existing District Centre in order to contribute to its vitality and viability."</del> Given the physical restrictions to the north any future expansion of the Centre, or any new stores, are likely to be either through redevelopment within the West Street frontage, or south of West Street, to the area currently given over to surface car parking. There are two key elements that need to be considered as part of any redevelopment or extension to the Centre. <del>Firstly the levels of parking to be provided will need to reflect the current parking levels, but also the increase in demand that may come through the extension. Firstly any new proposals will be required to retain existing parking levels and provide additional parking to meet the</del>	For clarification of the evidence that supports additional retail floorspace at Portchester District Centre and the importance of retaining parking	Discussed in the Issue 5 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-23



Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		<p><u>requirements of the new development proposed.</u> Secondly, any new retail units will need to be sited in a way that relates, and links to, the existing pedestrianised area to ensure that they become an integrated part of the Centre, <u>as recommended in the 2012 Retail Study.</u> Also, new development will need to take account of flood risk issues in accordance with the Technical Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework.</p>		
DMM19	Page 80 Paragraph 5.164 and Policy Map (Portchester District Centre)	<p>The boundary of Portchester District Centre has been extended to include additional uses that currently form functional parts of the Centre, and to allow potential space for expansion. <u>In line with Strategic Objective SO4 of the Core Strategy, proposals that will serve to enhance the district centre through promoting a mix of uses, including public space to enhance its social and economic focus will be supported.</u> The commercial premises to the east of Castle Street, <u>and</u> Castle Court, <del>Portchester Methodist Church and Portchester Parish Hall</del> have been included alongside the car park and lorry park behind West Street. These buildings and spaces all contribute to the vitality of the Centre and add to the overall offer. This extended boundary is designed to allow for the appropriate expansion of the retail offer, and means the Centre is not overly restricted or confined <u>and can accommodate future growth.</u></p> <p><i>Revised boundary of Portchester District Centre attached in Appendix B1</i></p>	To reflect amended boundary of expanded Portchester District Centre	Discussed in the Issue 5 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-23
DMM20	Page 80 Policy DSP36 (first bullet point)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><u>There is no overall reduction in car parking levels, and the proposed level of car parking meets the needs of both the existing retail offer and the proposed expansion</u></b></li> </ul>	For clarification that there should be no overall reduction in parking at Portchester District Centre	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 5 (DCD-09)
DMM21	Page 85	<u>The Council is committed to delivering the housing targets in the Core Strategy, and so it</u>	To provide	Discussed in

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
	New paragraphs after 5.180 and new text at end of DSP40	<p><u>is important to provide a contingency position in the Plan to deal with unforeseen problems with delivery of both allocations and/or commitments. Therefore, further flexibility in the Council's approach is provided in the final section of Policy DSP40: Housing Allocations. This potentially allows for additional sites to come forward, over and above the allocations in the Plan, where it can be proven that the Council cannot demonstrate a five year land supply against the Core Strategy housing targets.</u></p> <p><u>In order to accord with Policy CS6 and CS14 of the Core Strategy, proposals for additional sites outside the urban area boundaries will be strictly controlled. Such proposals will only be considered if it is demonstrated through the Council's monitoring or by a developer that the Council cannot meet its five year land supply target against the housing requirements set out in the Core Strategy.</u></p> <p><u>To ensure that such additional housing schemes contribute towards any five year supply shortage the Council will expect detailed information to be submitted to demonstrate the deliverability of the scheme. This should include a detailed programme of delivery specifically setting out when the proposal will be delivered. If deemed necessary the Council will include a planning condition to limit the commencement time to a year from the date of permission to ensure deliver in the short term. In order to protect areas outside of the existing settlements from unnecessary levels of development, only proposals that are of a scale relative to any identified shortfall will be considered.</u></p> <p><u>Protecting the character and beauty of the countryside is an important objective and so the careful design of any proposal will be a key consideration. Any proposal must be adjacent to an existing urban area boundary and sensitively designed to ensure it is as well related, and integrated, to the neighbouring settlement as possible. Proposals that minimise the impacts on the countryside and, where relevant, Strategic Gaps will be preferred. Any proposal will also need to demonstrate that there will be no unacceptable environmental, amenity or traffic implications and that all other relevant Policies in the Local Plan have been duly considered.</u></p>	flexibility in the Council's approach to housing where the Council is unable to demonstrate that it cannot meet five year housing land supply targets against the Core Strategy.	the Issue 7 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-24

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
----------	-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

*New text at the end of Policy DSP40*

**Where it can be demonstrated that the Council does not have a five year supply of land for housing against the requirements of the Core Strategy (excluding Welborne) additional housing sites, outside the urban area boundary, may be permitted where they meet all of the following criteria:**

- **The proposal is relative in scale to the demonstrated 5 year housing land supply shortfall;**
- **The proposal is sustainably located adjacent to, and well related to, the existing urban settlement boundaries, and can be well integrated with the neighbouring settlement;**
- **The proposal is sensitively designed to reflect the character of the neighbouring settlement and to minimise any adverse impact on the Countryside and, if relevant, the Strategic Gaps**
- **It can be demonstrated that the proposal is deliverable in the short term; and**
- **The proposal would not have any unacceptable environmental, amenity or traffic implications.**

DMM22	Page 153 Developm ent Brief for site H7	<i>Amended Site Brief map (Appendix B2)</i>	For clarification on potential access points to site to housing site H7: Fleet End Road, Warsash	Discussed in the Issue 7 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional
-------	--	---	--	---

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		Key Planning and Design Issues	<p>Due to land ownership, the site may not come forward as a single proposal. If the site is split, It is essential that the separate proposals for the site relate to each other to ensure that connectivity, legibility and permeability between them are not lost.</p> <p>The area that is available for development will impact on development form, as consideration will need to be given to existing residential properties, the trees on site, and the setting of the listed Jolley Farmer pub.</p> <p>Access to the site via Shorewood Close, using land owned by the Jolly Farmer, would be suitable to serve redevelopment of the site of up to approximately 25 dwellings. <del>Access via the lane between 47-43 Fleet End Road or Green Lane, with sufficient improvement including provision of a sufficient buffer to protect the amenity of adjacent properties, could support a reduced number of dwellings at this site. This capacity may be increased depending on the extent to which this/these access(es) can be upgraded.</del></p> <p>Ecological considerations: general biodiversity interest, the land is varied in terms of the quality of habitat and species richness. The site is over 1 km to Solent Maritime Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Solent and Southampton Water Ramsar and within 10 km of several European sites. Development of the site may potentially result in significant effects on European sites during the construction and/or operational phase of a development proposal. Development may potentially impact on protected species within and adjacent to the site.</p> <p>Three protected oaks are located to the southwest of the site and</p>	Material Statement DCD-25

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		<p>should be considered as part of the scheme and retained, where possible, in consultation with the Council's Tree Officer.</p> <p>Where possible the open space as part of the Shorewood Close development should be properly integrated with any on-site open space provision that may be required at this allocation to ensure a more usable space is created. Opportunities should also be explored to continue the greenway (between 30 and 36 Fleet End Road) to enable non-vehicular linkages through the site to the adjacent wooded and countryside areas to the south and to Warsash Common and Sovereign Crescent Green Corridor further beyond.</p> <p>Southern Water sewerage infrastructure crosses this site. Diversion required or buildings and substantial tree planting should provide a sufficient easement to enable access for future maintenance and upsizing.</p>		
DMM23	Page 166 Developm ent Site Brief H11	<i>Amended Site Brief map (Appendix B3)</i>	For clarification on potential access points to housing site H11 Heath Road, Locks Heath	Discussed in the Issue 7 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-25

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification				
DMM24	Page 85 New paragraph after 5.181 and amendments to Site Briefs for Housing sites H12 and H13	<p><u>Opportunities for self-build within the Borough are provided through support in the Development Site Briefs for Housing Allocations H12 and H13, whilst the residential frontage infill component of Policy DSP7 will also offer support to the delivery of small scale self-build schemes (for one or two dwellings). Lastly, the Council will continue to review the demand for self-build in the Borough, and will explore making further land available for self-build through the Local Plan Review, should future demand exceed the land made available for self-build in the Borough, by both the DSP Plan and the Welborne Plan.</u></p> <p><i>Amendment to Housing site H12: Land at Stubbington Lane, Stubbington</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Potential Use and Indicative Capacity</td> <td>Residential (10 dwellings) <u>Self-build schemes are encouraged on this site.</u></td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Amendment to Housing site H13: Land at Sea Lane, Stubbington</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Potential Use and Indicative Capacity</td> <td>Residential (5 dwellings) <u>Self-build schemes are encouraged on this site.</u></td> </tr> </table>	Potential Use and Indicative Capacity	Residential (10 dwellings) <u>Self-build schemes are encouraged on this site.</u>	Potential Use and Indicative Capacity	Residential (5 dwellings) <u>Self-build schemes are encouraged on this site.</u>	To clarify the Council's position in encouraging self-build homes in the Borough	Discussed in the Issue 7 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-24
Potential Use and Indicative Capacity	Residential (10 dwellings) <u>Self-build schemes are encouraged on this site.</u>							
Potential Use and Indicative Capacity	Residential (5 dwellings) <u>Self-build schemes are encouraged on this site.</u>							
DMM25	Page 90 New paragraph after 5.193 and Page 92 addition to start of Policy	<p><u>To facilitate the delivery of older person's accommodation the Council has identified the following sites as being suitable for older person's accommodation:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Fareham Station West (southern section)</u></li> <li>• <u>Genesis Centre, Locks Heath</u></li> <li>• <u>Corner of Station Road and A27, Portchester</u></li> </ul> <p><u>In order to guide development of these sites individual Development Site Briefs have been prepared (H16, H17 and H20). Prospective developers of these sites should have</u></p>	To clarify the Council's position regarding the provision of older persons accommodation	Discussed in the Issue 8 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's				

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
	DSP42	<p><u>regard to the development principles and planning requirements set out in the briefs. These sites are also included in Appendix C of the Plan, and remain relevant to the housing allocations through DSP40 as they provide an important contribution towards the overall supply of accommodation in the Borough. However, they will be safeguarded from other forms of development, including standard market housing, to ensure they are delivered for older person's accommodation. In circumstances where it can be demonstrated that older persons' accommodation is not viable on a certain site, alternative uses may be considered. The Council will continue to review the delivery of older persons' accommodation through the monitoring of the Development Sites and Policies Plan.</u></p> <p><i>Addition to start of Policy DSP42</i></p> <p><b><u>DSP42: New Housing for Older Persons</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Older Persons Accommodation will be permitted on the following sites:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Fareham Station West</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Genesis Centre, Locks Heath</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Corner of Station Road and A27, Portchester</u></b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>These sites should be developed in line with the principles set out in their respective Development Site Briefs. To ensure their availability they will be safeguarded from any other form of permanent development, including standard market housing, unless it can be demonstrated that older persons' accommodation is unviable.</u></b></p> <p><i>Amendments to site briefs H16 Fareham Station West:</i></p>		Additional Material Statement DCD-25

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		Potential Use and Indicative Capacity	<del>Residential (30 flats and 80 unit Extra Care Home or 75 flats) Older Persons' Accommodation (around 80 units)</del>	
		Capacity and Rationale	A care home facility (around 80 units) could be accommodated on site. <del>at the southern end, which would result in a capacity for the remainder of the site at 30 residential units. A wholly residential scheme across the site could yield up to 75 units.</del>	

*Amendments to site brief H17 Genesis Centre:*

Potential Use and Indicative Capacity	<del>Residential</del> <u>Older Persons' Accommodation (around 35 flats units)</u>
Capacity and Rationale	Based on the provision of two <del>flatted</del> blocks the site could yield around 35 units <u>of older persons' accommodation</u> . This level of development provides some amenity space and adequate parking numbers.

*New site brief for H20 Corner of Station Road and A27, Portchester in Appendix B4*

DMM26	Page 97 Policy DSP47	<del>vi. does not have an unacceptable adverse impact upon living conditions or neighbouring development by way of the loss of sunlight, daylight, outlook and privacy;</del>	For clarification	Proposed in the Council's Statement on
-------	----------------------------	---	-------------------	--



Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
	(bullet point (vi))			Issues and Questions Issue 8 (DCD-12)
DMM27	Pages 101-105 Paragraphs 6.11, 6.12, 6.19, new paragraph after 6.19, paragraph 6.20, new paragraph after 6.20 and Policy DSP49	<p><i>Amendments to Paragraphs 6.11-6.12</i></p> <p><b><i>B3385 Newgate Lane (Northern Section)</i></b></p> <p><del>The extent and alignment of improvements to the southern section of the proposed Newgate Lane scheme are now being reviewed in conjunction with the consultation on the determination of a route corridor for the Stubbington Bypass. Public consultation in summer 2014 identified support for improvements to the southern section of Newgate Lane along a new eastern alignment. The eastern alignment was approved for progression by Hampshire County Council's Executive Member for Economy Transport and Environment on 4 November 2014.</del></p> <p><b><i>Peel Common Roundabout Scheme</i></b></p> <p>An improvement scheme is being progressed for Peel Common Roundabout that proposes signal control for some approach arms aimed at better balancing the flow of traffic into the junction together with improved pedestrian and cyclist crossing facilities to the south of the junction, aimed at reducing demand at the critical Newgate Lane crossing immediately to the north. The proposal also includes improved facilities for bus passengers boarding and alighting at this location. Future improvements at the junction <del>may</del> <u>will</u> be required to enable connection to an improved southern section of Newgate Lane and <del>or</del> a Stubbington bypass in the longer term scheme following the identification of a preferred corridor for a Stubbington bypass. Land requirements will be safeguarded if and where appropriate.</p>	To reflect Hampshire County Council's latest position on Highway improvement schemes in the Borough	Discussed in the Issue 9 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-26

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
----------	-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

*Amendments to Paragraph 6.19-6.20*

***Stubbington Bypass***

The bypass is a major transport scheme, which will be very challenging to implement. After assessment of a series of potential route options a preferred route has been identified which connects the B3334 Gosport Road, south of Stubbington to the B3334 Titchfield Road north of Stubbington. ~~The preferred route will be subject to further more detailed appraisal and consultation. The development work is being afforded a high priority by the County Council. Land will be required to deliver this scheme, if it proves to be feasible, and will be safeguarded once the requirements are known.~~ Following consultation in summer 2014, the County Council has identified an indicative corridor for the bypass, which is 100m wide to allow design adjustments as work progresses. The actual corridor width will be approximately 20-25m.

The scheme will require careful consideration to ensure that the route does not undermine the purpose of the Strategic Gap and does not result in any significant adverse effect on the physical or visual separation of Stubbington/Lee on the Solent and Fareham/Gosport. All stages of design, as the scheme progresses, will need to take account of the principles and criteria set out in Policy CS22 of the Core Strategy.

***Newgate Lane (Southern Section)***

~~Options for improving the southern part of Newgate Lane between Tanners Lane and Peel Common Roundabout are being given further consideration during work to assess the feasibility of a future bypass of Stubbington. These options include improvements to Newgate Lane South with or without a Stubbington bypass. Improvements based upon the current alignment of Newgate Lane and also a possible new alignment to the east are currently being considered including the line safeguarded for Strategic Road Network Improvements in the Fareham Local Plan Review 2000. It is likely that land outside the~~

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
----------	-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

~~existing highway boundary, will be required to address the traffic issues on the southern section of Newgate Lane and work is progressing to provide a clearer understanding of requirements in order that the safeguarding can be confirmed once the detailed requirements are known. Following assessment of a number of options for improving capacity on the southern section of Newgate Lane, it is proposed to construct a new road to the east of the existing southern section of Newgate Lane. The new road would commence at Peel Common Roundabout with a new arm at the roundabout. The route heads northwards between Brookers Field and the River Alver to tie in with the northern section of Newgate Lane.~~

The scheme will require careful consideration to ensure that the route does not undermine the purpose of the Strategic Gap and does not result in any significant adverse effect on the physical or visual separation of Stubbington/Lee on the Solent and Fareham/Gosport. All stages of design, as the scheme progresses, will need to take account of the principles and criteria set out in Policy CS22 of the Core Strategy.

*Amendments to Policy DSP49*

**Policy DSP49: Improvements to the Strategic Road Network**

**The alignments shown on the Policies Map, ~~is~~ are safeguarded for the following proposals, which will improve and maintain the effectiveness of the Strategic Road Network:**

- (A) B3385 Newgate Lane, Palmerston Drive – Peel Common;**
- (B) B3334 Gosport Road– B3334 Titchfield Road (Stubbington Bypass)**

**The parcels of land shown on the Policies Map, are safeguarded for the following proposals, which will improve and maintain the effectiveness of the junctions on**

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
<p><b>the Strategic Road Network:</b></p>				
<p>(i) <b>Segensworth Roundabout;</b>            (ii) <b>A27/West Street/Station roundabout and Gudge Heath Lane Junction; and</b>            (iii) <b>Delme Roundabout and A27 approaches.</b></p>				
<p><i>Safeguarded routes will be added to the proposals map, as shown in Appendix B5</i></p>				
DMM28	Page 105 Paragraphs 6.21-6.22 and Policy DSP50	<p>Rookery Avenue has been identified as the means of providing a southern access and distributor link between Whiteley Way and Botley Road. This is part of the overall transport and access strategy for Whiteley (which falls within both Fareham Borough and Winchester City Councils' areas). However, depending on the progression of the North Whiteley development proposals, both in terms of transport strategy and implementation, this policy position may need to be reviewed.</p> <p><del>Yew Tree Drive's status as a bus only access route is currently under consideration. Following public consultation, consent has been granted for the route to be opened to all traffic except heavy goods vehicles, for a trial period of up to one year from September 2013. During this period, the impact on roads in the surrounding area will be assessed and the position reviewed. By then it is expected that the timing of completion of the Whiteley Way through to the A3051 Botley Road, north of Curbridge will be known and further transport assessment carried out in relation to the development of North Whiteley within Winchester.</del></p> <p><b>Policy DSP50: Access to Whiteley</b></p> <p><b>The parcels of land as shown on the Policies Map will be safeguarded for the following improvements to serve development:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The remaining section of Rookery Avenue linking the Whiteley Area</b></li> </ul>	To reflect Hampshire County Council's latest position on Highway improvement schemes in the Borough	Discussed in the Issue 9 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-26

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
<b>Distributor Road to Botley Road.</b>				
<del><b>Road connections from Whiteley to Botley Road for general traffic via Yew Tree Drive will not be permitted before Whiteley Way is completed between Junction 9 on the M27 and the A3051, Botley Road north of Curbridge, providing the outcome of the trial opening and further transport assessment, including the impact of the expansion of North Whiteley, does not indicate severe adverse impacts and it is demonstrated to be safe.</b></del>				
DMM29	Page 114 Policy DSP54	<b>New Moorings will be permitted provided that they are located outside of the Mooring Restriction Areas, and where it can be demonstrated that they would not have an adverse impact on the Solent International Designated Sites <u>or sites of archaeological and historical importance, in particular the Grace Dieu.</u> Within the Mooring Restriction Areas, the replacement or relocation of existing moorings will only be permitted where there are no alternative locations outside these areas and the proposal will improve navigation and the overall appearance of the area</b>	To incorporate the inclusion of Grace Dieu Wreck site into the policy.	Discussed in the Issue 2 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-20
DMM30	Page 33 New paragraph s after Paragraph 4.29	<u>The Council has identified from its own Green Infrastructure Strategy several pedestrian and cycle projects that are deemed strategic in nature and are anticipated to be achieved within the time period of the Plan. These projects are not exclusive and several have been bullet pointed below with a brief description of what is required in order to achieve Multi-user status. A Bridle way is the lowest legal status of Right of Way that would accommodate multi-user access. Some of the schemes require an upgrade of existing facilities whilst others are new schemes within the Borough. These proposals link towards the Council requirements to encourage sustainable modes of transport, promote use of</u>	To clarify how the Council has incorporated the findings of the GI Strategy into the DSP Plan and to highlight	Discussed in the Issue 9 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
		<p><u>multi-user green corridors and be in accordance with the Strategic Objectives set with the Core Strategy (2011).</u></p> <p><u>Strategic Multi-user pedestrian and cycle links expected to be achievable are:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Pook Lane – Establishing a cycle and pedestrian route linking Welborne and Fareham Town Centre via Broadcut Industrial Estate.</u></li> <li>• <u>Forest Lane to West Walk - Establishing a Multi-user route between Welborne and West Walk providing access to the wider countryside;</u></li> <li>• <u>Welborne to Whiteley (inc Welborne to Meon Valley Trail) Links - Strategic east-west route linking two areas of Fareham Borough via Winchester District. A direct link to the Meon Valley Trail is also to be created.</u></li> <li>• <u>Welborne to Hill Park Link (Deviation Line) - Continuation of Rights of Way along old railway line near Knowle, providing a direct link to Welborne from Fareham Hill Park.</u></li> <li>• <u>Link over Fareham Common - Upgrade and enhance existing access under the M27 to provide a multi-user route from Welborne to Fareham.</u></li> <li>• <u>England Coast Path/Solent Way/NCN2 Warsash Link – Upgrading Rights of Way to provide full cycleway functionality required to meet National Cycle Network (NCN) Standards.</u></li> </ul>	<p>achievable projects moving forward</p>	<p>Additional Material Statement DCD-26</p>
DMM31	<p>Page 83-87 Paragraphs 5.173, 5.174, 5.180 and 5.184 and Table 4 and</p>	<p><i>Amendments to paragraphs 5.173 and 5.174</i></p> <p>Core Strategy Policy CS2: Housing Provision sets out the housing requirements for the Borough, outside Welborne. This was based on evidence set out in the PUSH South Hampshire Strategy, which required the Borough to deliver 3,729 dwellings between 2006 and 2026. A total of <del>2,665</del><u>2,857</u> dwellings have been delivered between 1 April 2006 and 31 March <del>2013</del><u>2014</u>; this leaves a residual balance of <del>4,064</del><u>4,872</u> dwellings to be delivered during the remaining part of the Plan period (1 April <del>2013</del><u>2014</u> – 31 March 2026).</p>	<p>To update housing figures to include 2013/14 completions and permissions and to take account of</p>	<p>Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 7 (DCD-11) also discussed in</p>

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
	Appendices C, D, E and G	<p>Since the adoption of the Core Strategy, the Partnership for Urban South Hampshire (PUSH) has updated the South Hampshire Strategy to take account of revised economic forecasts, including the effect of recent economic downturn on rates of economic growth and house building. The review, formally known as the South Hampshire Strategy: A Framework to Guide Sustainable Development and Change to 31 March 2026, revised individual local planning authorities' housing targets up to 2026. Taking account of completion in the period 1 April 2006 to 31 March <del>2013</del><u>2014</u>, Fareham is now required to deliver <del>4,536</del><u>1,344</u> dwellings across the remainder of the plan period between 1 April <del>2013</del><u>2014</u> and 31 March 2026 (excluding Welborne) – see table 4.</p> <p><i>Amendments to paragraph 5.180</i></p> <p>Flexibility in the supply will also be provided through the delivery of “small sites” (see Appendix D). This additional supply will account for any potential shortfall in the delivery of identified sites if some of the identified residential sites do not come forward as planned. Small sites (fewer than five units) are an important source of Fareham’s housing supply. Hampshire County Council’s housing monitoring data reveals that, as at 31 March <del>2013</del><u>2014</u>, the Borough has a commitment of <del>409</del><u>139</u> net dwellings on small sites with extant permission (see Appendix D). However, agents and/or developers of these sites have not been contacted to understand the likelihood of the development coming forward, and so the deliverability of these sites is not certain. To this end, the <del>409</del><u>139</u> dwellings permitted on small sites do not form part of the housing land supply calculation but their contribution toward Fareham’s housing requirement has been highlighted as an aspect of flexibility in the housing land supply position.</p> <p><i>Amendments to paragraph 5.183</i></p> <p>The housing supply that is needed to ensure that the Borough meets its overall housing requirement is set out in Table 4. The total from the various sources shows a supply surplus of <del>287</del><u>929</u> dwellings in meeting the housing requirement, <u>as set out in the Core</u></p>	additional older persons allocation (see DMM25)	the Issue 7 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council’s Additional Material Statement DCD-24

Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
----------	-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

Strategy for Fareham Borough (excluding Welborne). The information set out below is correct as at 31 March ~~2013~~2014. The information will be updated through the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and the Monitoring Report.

*Amended table 4 and amended appendices C-G of the DSP Plan are shown in Appendix B6*

DMM32	Pages 123-132 Development Site Briefs E1-E5	<i>Amend site brief E1: Solent 2</i>		For clarification on potential capacity of sites and existing planning status	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 4 (DCD-08)
		Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity	Employment floorspace (B1, <u>B2 or B8</u> ) of approximately 23,500sq.m		
		<i>Amend site brief E2: Little Park Farm</i>			
		Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity	Employment <u>low density</u> floorspace (B1, B2 or B8) of approximately 11,200sq.m		
		<i>Amend site brief E3: Kites Croft</i>			
		Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity	Employment <u>low density</u> floorspace (B1, B2 or B8) of approximately 3,000sq.m		
		<i>Amend site brief E4: Midpoint 27, Cartwright Drive</i>			



Mod Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Main Modification	Reason for Change	Source of modification
----------	-----------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

Planning Status	<u>Extant Permission for B1-B8 floorspace</u>
Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity	Employment <u>floorspace</u> (B1, B2 or B8) <u>of approximately 3,000sq.m</u>


*Amend site brief E5: The Walled Garden, Cams Hall*

Planning Status	Extant Permission for <u>1,843sq.m</u> of B1 floorspace
Potential Use and Indicative Floorspace Capacity	Employment <u>floorspace</u> (B1, B2 or B8) <u>of approximately 2,000sq.m</u>


DMM33	Page 199 Table 5	<i>Replace table 5: Monitoring Schedule with new table 5 as show in Appendix B7.</i>	To clarify the Council's approach to monitoring the Plan	Discussed in the Issue 10 hearing session with modifications subsequently proposed in the Council's Additional Material Statement DCD-27
-------	---------------------	--	--	--

<b>Mod Ref:</b>	<b>Plan Ref.</b>	<b>Proposed Main Modification</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>	<b>Source of modification</b>
DMM34	Policies Map (Brent Geese and Waders)	<i>Downgrade 7 Brent Geese and Waders sites from "important" to "uncertain" as shown in Appendix B8</i>	To reflect most up to date information from Hampshire Biodiversity Information Centre	Proposed in the Council's Statement on Issues and Questions Issue 3 (DCD-07)

Appendix B1: Revised boundary of Portchester District Centre




Appendix B2: Amended site brief map for Housing Site H7 Fleet End Road, Warsash






Appendix B3: Amended site brief map for Housing Site H11 Heath Road, Locks Heath



**Appendix B4: New Development Site Brief for Corner of Station Road and A27, Portchester**

**Housing Site H20: Corner of Station Road and A27, Portchester**




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110, 2014

<u>Site ID (referenced in SHLAA)</u>	<u>1002</u>
<u>Site Address</u>	<u>Corner of Station Road and A27</u>
<u>Location</u>	<u>North of A27 and west of Station Road, Portchester</u>
<u>Ward</u>	<u>Portchester East</u>
<u>Settlement Area</u>	<u>Portchester</u>
<u>Site Area</u>	<u>0.22ha</u>
<u>Planning Status</u>	<u>Application for demolition of existing industrial unit and erection of 20 apartments withdrawn in 2008.</u>
<u>Potential Use and Indicative Capacity</u>	<u>Older Persons Accommodation (around 15 units)</u>
<u>Key Planning and Design Issues</u>	<p><u>Development should be, at most, 3 stories in height and should reflect the built form of surrounding development as far as possible. It will need to consider the amenity impact on neighbouring residential properties to the north and west.</u></p> <p><u>The significant hedgerow on the eastern boundary and significant trees within the site should be retained, where possible, to protect the amenity of neighbouring residents.</u></p>

	<p><u>Development will need to take account of the outlook and privacy of potential occupiers of any new buildings. Amenity for future residents will also need to be carefully considered given the proximity of the A27 and roundabout.</u></p> <p><u>Access via Station Road would be required to be located to the far northeast of the site (on land currently occupied by Merjen Engineering) to provide an access that is of sufficient distance from the entry/exit to the roundabout. Development of the site would require the demolition of the Merjen Engineering building to provide access.</u></p> <p><u>Development will be required to be set back from the A27 to allow for sufficient sight lines for vehicles entering the roundabout from Bridge Road and to provide land for sewage line easement.</u></p>
<u>Capacity and Rationale</u>	<p><u>Based on the provision of single block the site could yield around 15 units of older persons accommodation.</u></p>
<u>Information Required</u>	<p><u>Proposals for development would be required to provide an ecological survey and assessment. Any potential ecological impacts highlighted will need to be appropriately mitigated.</u></p> <p><u>To ensure high quality trees are retained, where possible, proposals will require a Tree Survey and impact assessment to be completed in consultation with the Council's Tree Officer.</u></p> <p><u>A noise assessment should be carried out to ascertain the likely impact on future residents from the adjacent A27 and roundabout.</u></p> <p><u>As the access to the site is restricted by constraints imposed by the nature and operation of A27 and roundabout, any application will need to demonstrate that it can be made suitable in highway safety terms. This issue would need to be addressed in a Transport Statement.</u></p>



**Appendix B5: Proposed safeguarded highway routes for Stubbington bypass and Newgate Lane**





**Appendix B6: Amendments to Table 4 and Appendices C-G**

Table 4 (page 87): Housing Delivery Overview (2006 – 2026). Amend as follows:

Source	Number of Dwellings (net)	
<b>Housing requirements</b>		
	Core Strategy* (2006-2026)	<b>South Hampshire Strategy (2011- 2026)</b>
Strategy Requirements	3,729	<del>2,200</del> <b>2,202</b>
Housing completions		
1 April 2006 - 31 March 2013 <del>4</del>	<del>2,665</del> 2,857	
1 April 2011 - 31 March 2013 <del>4</del>		<b>664 858</b>
<b>Outstanding requirement for plan period at 1 April 2013<del>4</del></b>	1,064 872	<b>1,536-1,344</b>
<b>Projected housing supply 1 April 2013<del>4</del> – 31 March 2026</b>		
Core Strategy Allocation at Coldeast	240 <u>30</u>	
Planning permissions (in progress)	359 <u>544</u>	
Planning permissions (not started)	139 <u>582</u>	
Allocations rolled forward from existing Local Plan	370 <u>130</u>	
New Allocations (including Town Centre Development Opportunity Area <u>and Older Persons Accommodation</u> )	615 <u>415</u>	
Projected Windfall	100	
<b>Total projected housing supply</b>	<b>1,823 <u>1,801</u></b>	
<b>Projected surplus</b>		
	<u>Core Strategy</u>	<u>South Hampshire Strategy</u>
<b>(1 April 2013<del>4</del> - 31 March 2026)</b>	<b><u>929</u></b>	<b><u>287 457</u></b>

Appendix C: Housing Allocations. Amend as follows:

**Table 8: Housing Allocations**

Allocation	Net Number of Dwellings (indicative)
<b>Rolled forward existing Local Plan Review (2000) Allocations</b>	
Peters Road, <del>Salisbury</del> <u>Locks Heath</u> *	<del>230</del> 20
East of Raley Road	50
Land at Fleet End Road	10
Land off Church Road, Warsash	20
Land to rear of 347-411 Hunts Pond Road	20
33 Lodge Road, Locks Heath	10
Hinton Hotel, Catisfield Lane	30
<b>Total (a)</b>	<b>370</b> <u>130</u>
<b>Rolled forward existing Core Strategy (2010) Allocations</b>	
Coldeast LOT 2	210
PCT Land <del>Coldeast</del> <u>Coldeast</u>	30
<b>Total (b)</b>	<b>240</b> <u>30</u>
<b>New Allocations</b>	
Croft House, Redlands Lane	15
Hope Lodge, Fareham Park Road	5
Former Community Facilities, Wynton Way	10
Land between 335 and 357 Gosport Road	10
Fareham College Site**	110
Land at Heath Road, Locks Heath	70
Land at Stubbington Lane	10
Land at Sea Lane	5
Genesis Centre	35
Rear of Coldeast Close	5
Land to rear of 123 Bridge Road	5
<b>Total (c)</b>	<b>280</b> <u>125</u>
<b>Fareham Town Centre Development Opportunity Areas</b>	
Civic Area**	80
Market Quay**	60
Fareham Station West	80
Land to the rear of Red Lion Hotel, East Street and Bath Lane Car Park	55
Maytree Road	20
<b>Total (d)</b>	<b>335</b> <u>160</u>
<b>Sites identified for Older Persons Accommodation</b>	
<u>Fareham Station West</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>Genesis Centre</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Corner of Station Road and A27, Portchester</u>	<u>15</u>
<b>Total (de)</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Grand Total (a+b+c+d+e)</b>	<b>1,225</b> <u>575</u>

\*The capacity of this site excludes the ~~49~~ 258 units with planning consent (see Table 9 below).

~~\*\*This site is a mixed use allocation. The housing element of this mixed use allocation is included in the table.~~

\*\*This site is a Town Centre Development Opportunity Area. The housing element of this mixed use area is included in the table.

**Table 9: Housing allocations Large Sites (net gain 5+ units) with extant planning permission, where no material start has been made (data correct as at ~~31 March 2013~~ 31 August 2014)**

<b>Extant planning permission</b>	<b>Settlement Area</b>	<b>Number of Dwellings (indicative)</b>
45-47 West Street	Fareham	9
East of Northway, Southway and Westway	Western Wards & Whiteley	14
ATC Site Farm Road	Western Wards & Whiteley	34
21 Bridge Road	Western Wards & Whiteley	10
38 Columbus Drive	Western Wards & Whiteley	12
Peter's Road (Highwood)	Western Wards & Whiteley	49
<u>Peters Road (Taylor Wimpey)</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>143</u>
<u>Peters Road (Bovis Homes)</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>64</u>
157 White Hart Lane and Land to Rear	Portchester	5
Catholic Church of our Lady	Portchester	7
<u>Land to the rear of Red Lion Hotel, East Street and Bath Lane Car Park</u>	<u>Fareham</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Fareham Point</u>	<u>Fareham</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>411 Hunts Pond Road</u>	<u>Western Wards</u>	<u>6</u>
Coldeast Hospital (LOT 1 Phase 2)	Western Wards & Whiteley	148 <u>97</u>
<u>Tudor Lodge (Care Home)</u>	<u>Stubbington</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Little Brook House (Care Home)</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Fareham College Site*</u>	<u>Fareham</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Land to rear of 123 Bridge Road</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Rear of Coldeast Close</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>8 Southampton Hill</u>	<u>Titchfield</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>10 Southampton Hill</u>	<u>Titchfield</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>142-144 West Street</u>	<u>Fareham</u>	<u>17</u>
<b>Total =</b>		<b><u>139 582</u></b>

\*This site is a mixed use allocation. The housing element of this mixed use allocation is included in the table.

*Appendix D: Small Sites with Planning Permission. Delete and replaced with table 10 below:*

**Table 10: Small sites (fewer than 5 dwellings) with planning permission (Data correct as at 31 August 2014)**

Address	Planning Application Reference Number	Settlement Area	Capacity as per planning consent
119 West Street	13/0262/FP	Fareham	4
141-143 West Street	12/1019/CU	Fareham	4
161a West Street	13/0094/CU	Fareham	2
24 West Street	11/0959/CU	Fareham	4
1 Westbury Road	11/0779/FP	Fareham	1
8 Hartlands Road	11/0320/FP	Fareham	1
Mill House Land Adjacent To Forest Lane	12/0713/FP	Fareham	1
43 Southampton Road	12/1013/FP	Fareham	1
101 West Street	11/0708/FP	Fareham	2
57 High Street	13/0524/FP	Fareham	1
20-26 Titchfield Road	13/0807/FP	Fareham	4
134 Gordon Road	13/0036/FP	Fareham	1
107-109 Gordon Arms Gordon Road	12/1036/CU	Fareham	2
Delme Court Maytree Road	11/0840/CU	Fareham	2
Al Mahdi Wickham Road	11/0418/FP	Fareham	0
138 Funtley Road	13/0161/OA	Fareham	2
58 Maylings Farm Road	11/0651/FP	Fareham	1
62 The Avenue	11/0275/FP	Fareham	1
1 Peak Lane	13/0035/OA	Fareham	1
67 The Avenue	12/0804/FP	Fareham	1
30 Fareham Park Road	13/0133/FP	Fareham	2
34-36 Land To Rear Fareham Park Road	09/0512/FP	Fareham	4
101 Hillson Drive	13/0435/FR	Fareham	1
66 Wynton Way	13/0168/FP	Fareham	2
28 Land At Elgin Close	03/0688/FP	Fareham	1
1 Land Adjacent To Southwick Court	12/0260/FP	Fareham	1
33 Fairfield Avenue	13/0122/FP	Fareham	1
Spurlings Road - Greenhill Cottage	14/0123/CU	Fareham	1
26 Catisfield Road	14/0202/CU	Fareham	1
128 Paxton Road - Land Adjacent To	14/0035/VC	Fareham	1
Admiral House 67 High Street	14/0236/FP	Fareham	1
219 West Street	13/1090/PC	Fareham	1
Crofton Lane - 49 - 51	P/13/1080/FP	Fareham	2
Castle Barn Land To West Of Wicor Path	12/0304/FP	Portchester	1
1 Portsdown Inn Leith Avenue	13/0105/FP	Portchester	1
22 The Downsway	13/0075/FP	Portchester	1
45 Newtown Road	10/0087/FP	Portchester	3
66 Land Adjacent Cornaway Lane	13/1108/FP	Portchester	1

39 Land At Westlands Grove	06/0145/FP	Portchester	1
Land At Nyewood Avenue	13/0083/FR	Portchester	2
5 Rudgwick Close	03/0038/FP	Portchester	1
34 Portchester Road	13/1049/FP	Portchester	2
33 West Street - The Car Cabin - The Precinct	P/14/0552/CU	Portchester	1
31 Stubbington Green	14/0614/CU	Stubbington	2
47 Land Adjacent St Marys Road	13/0140/FP	Stubbington / Hillhead	1
130 Newgate Lane	12/0771/FP	Stubbington / Hillhead	1
122 Mays Lane	12/0965/OA	Stubbington / Hillhead	1
36 Land To Rear Of Stubbington Lane	07/1310/FP	Stubbington / Hillhead	1
2 Crofton Lane	13/0730/OA	Stubbington / Hillhead	1
18 Land To Rear Of Pilgrims Way	12/0768/FP	Stubbington / Hillhead	1
27 Fern Way	09/0372/FP	Titchfield	1
75 Land To Rear Of Garstons Close	08/1215/FP	Titchfield	1
40 Land Adjacent Catisfield Lane	08/0956/FP	Titchfield	1
44 Common Lane	13/0670/CU	Titchfield	1
35 The Square	13/0953/CU	Titchfield	-1
18 Locks Heath Park Road	13/0717/RM	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
347a Hunts Pond Road	11/0242/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
411 Hunts Pond Road	10/0928/FR	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
114 Locks Heath Park Road	13/0988/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
153 Land Adjacent To Hunts Pond Road	13/0255/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
141 Hunts Pond Road	12/0566/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	2
2 The Grounds Heath Road North	13/0590/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
348 Brook Lane	12/0400/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
33 Lower Duncan Road	13/0742/OA	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
Site Of Former Sylvan Clinic Land At Columbus Drive	12/0630/OA	Western Wards & Whiteley	2
64 Botley Road	13/0237/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	4
24 Land Adjacent To Sherwood Gardens	12/0443/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
70 Fleet End Road	13/0624/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
80 Swinton Hall Warsash Road	13/0955/RM	Western Wards & Whiteley	4
201 Land Adjoining Locks Road	11/0542/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	2
10 Locks Road	13/0588/FR	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
112 Locks Road	12/0571/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
Land Off Wayside	13/0149/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	2
233 Land To Rear Of Swanwick Lane	13/0062/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	4
The Rosery Allotment Road	13/0800/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	4
190a Bridge Road	11/0945/CU	Western Wards & Whiteley	-1
27-29 Holly Hill Lane	12/0695/OA	Western Wards & Whiteley	3
39 Holly Hill Lane	12/0647/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
39 Botley Road	14/0227/PC	Western Wards & Whiteley	4
12 Greenaway	13/1031/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	2
133 Warsash Road - Land Adjacent To	14/0404/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
4 Addison Road Sarisbury Green	14/0465/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1

**FAREHAM**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

67 Church Road	14/0409/OA	Western Wards & Whiteley	3
63 Bridge Road	14/0340/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	2
Carron Row Farm 15 Segensworth Road	14/0587/LU	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
3 Fleet End Road - Land Adjacent -	14/0244/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	2
22 Peters Road	13/0832/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	3
151 Hunts Pond Road (Plot 3)	14/0530/FP	Western Wards & Whiteley	1
<b>Total Net Permissions</b>			<b>139</b>

Appendix E: Sites with Planning Permission where Development is Currently in Progress. Amend as follows:

**Table 11: Sites with planning permission where development is currently in progress (data correct as at ~~31 March 2013~~ 31 August 2014)**

Address	Settlement area	Remaining Net Capacity as per planning permission
St Christopher's Hospital, Wickham Road, Fareham	Fareham	36 2
<del>40-42 Westley Grove</del>	<del>Fareham</del>	<del>43</del>
Collingwood House, Gibraltar Close	Fareham	40
Land South of Palmerston Avenue	Fareham	16
<u>Hinton Hotel</u>	<u>Fareham</u>	<u>82</u>
<del>Land to rear 347-411 Hunts Pond Road</del>	<del>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</del>	<del>40</del>
Swanwick Marina, Bridge Road, Swanwick	Western Wards & Whiteley	49
324-326 Brook Lane	Western Wards & Whiteley	4
<del>Land North of Whiteley (Northern Portion)</del>	<del>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</del>	<del>29</del>
122 Leydene Nursery, Segensworth Road	Western Wards & Whiteley	3
East of Lower Duncan Road, Park Gate	Western Wards & Whiteley	18
<del>Newpark</del> <u>New Park</u> Garage, Station Road	Western Wards & Whiteley	14
<u>69 Botley Road</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>38 Rivendale Columbus Drive</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>12</u>
Linden Lea, The Leaway	Portchester	8
<u>ATC Site Farm Road</u>	<u>Western Wards &amp; Whiteley</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>East of Northway, Southway and Westway</u>	<u>Western Wards</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Peters Road (Highwood)</u>	<u>Western Wards</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Coldeast LOT 2</u>	<u>Western Wards</u>	<u>204</u>
<b>Total =</b>		<b>359 <u>544</u></b>



*Appendix F: Windfall Allowance, amendments to Tables 12 and 13 as follows;*

**Table 12: Historic windfall rates 2006 to 2012-2014**

Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	<u>2013/14</u>	Average 2006 – 2013 2014
Windfall	133	82	30	19	11	12	15	<b><u>22</u></b>	<b>38*</b>

\*Rounded to whole number

**Table 13: Historic windfall rates 2006 to 2012 2014 (adjusted to account for methodology changes in the SHLAA)**

Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	<u>2013/14</u>	Average 2006 - 2013 <u>2014</u>
Windfall	33	41	17	19	11	12	15	<b><u>22</u></b>	<b>21*</b>

\*Rounded to whole number

*Appendix G: Fareham's Housing Trajectory, amend as follows;*

**Fareham's Housing Trajectory and Five-Year Housing Land Supply (excluding Welborne)**

The Council is required to identify a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing against its housing requirements, with an additional buffer of 5% (moved forward from later in the plan period) to ensure choice and competition in the market for land. Table 14 below, considers housing delivery, past and future, providing the overall housing trajectory across the plan period.

The trajectory identifies past housing delivery and the expected rate of projected housing delivery for the remainder of the plan period to 2026. Past housing completions are represented by row A. Rows D (Total Projected Housing Supply) represents the anticipated housing supply for Fareham Borough. This consists of sites identified in this Plan (Row C - Projected Planned Housing Completions), and a windfall allowance (Row C - Projected Windfall Allowance).

Row ~~H~~ (Total Strategic Housing Requirement) represents the housing requirements for the Borough outside Welborne. It is a combination of the housing ascribed to Fareham through the ~~revised South Hampshire Strategy (2012 PUSH South Hampshire Strategy Requirement)~~ adopted Core Strategy and, as required by paragraph 47, bullet point 2 of the National Planning Policy Framework, an additional 5% buffer of deliverable sites (Row G) moved forward from later in the plan period (5% Buffer applied to five year housing supply). For information purposes row I shows the annual requirements of the South Hampshire Strategy, with Row J showing this annual requirement added to the Strategic Requirement for the Borough.

Row ~~K~~ demonstrates the number of dwellings above or below the housing target, of the Core Strategy, at any one year. Despite a shortfall in housing land availability toward the latter stages of the plan period, significant projected over-delivery in the early stages of the plan period will ensure that Fareham is capable of meeting its housing requirements.

Row ~~L~~ shows the number of dwellings above or below cumulative housing requirements of the Core Strategy. A positive figure demonstrates that a strategy is ahead of the delivery target whereas a negative figure shows a shortfall in housing delivery. The cumulative rate of delivery remains positive across the plan, despite a shortfall in annual delivery against annual supply in the latter stages of the plan period. For information purposes Row O shows the number of dwellings above or below cumulative housing requirements of the South Hampshire Strategy

As the table demonstrates, Fareham is capable of meeting and exceeding its five year deliverable housing land requirement by 95651 dwellings (~~1,1631,418~~ – ~~1,068767~~ = 95651). With regards to the developable supply (i.e. 6-10 year and 11-~~14~~13 years supply) Fareham is in a housing deficit of ~~-169190~~ (~~427246~~ - ~~596436~~ = -~~169190~~) and ~~-10629~~ (~~233137~~ - ~~333166~~ = -~~10029~~), respectively. However, when past delivery is taken into account, Fareham is capable of delivering the Cumulative Requirement across the plan period (i.e. 2006-2026) of 42043729 dwellings with a projected surplus of 287929 dwellings.

**Fareham's Housing Trajectory and Five-Year Housing Land Supply (excluding Welborne)**

	Plan Year									1-5 Year Supply					6-10 Year Supply					11+ Year Supply		
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2006/26
<b>A</b>	<b>Past Completions</b>	608	546	318	188	339	315	391	152													2857
<b>B</b>	<b>Projected Planned Housing Completions</b>									252	297	342	256	171	50	20	40	70	66	88	49	1701
<b>C</b>	<b>Projected Windfall Allowance</b>									20	20	20	20	20								100
<b>D</b>	<b>Total Projected Housing Supply</b>									272	317	362	276	191	50	20	40	70	66	88	49	1801
<b>E</b>	<b>Cumulative Completions/Projections</b>	608	1154	1472	1660	1999	2314	2705	2857	3129	3446	3808	4084	4275	4325	4345	4385	4455	4521	4609	4658	4658
<b>F</b>	<b>2011 Core Strategy Housing Requirement</b>	340	340	340	340	340	220	220	220	220	220	94	94	94	94	93	92	92	92	92	92	3729
<b>G</b>	<b>5% buffer applied to five year deliverable housing supply</b>									9	9	9	9	9			-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	0
<b>H</b>	<b>Total Strategic Housing Requirement (2006 - 2026)</b>	340	340	340	340	340	220	220	220	229	229	103	103	103	94	93	83	83	83	83	83	3729
<b>I</b>	<b>2012 Push South Hampshire Strategy Requirement</b>						32	32	32	32	32	32	32	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	472
<b>J</b>	<b>Total Housing Requirement plus South Hampshire Strategy Requirements</b>	340	340	340	340	340	252	252	252	261	261	135	135	134	125	124	114	114	114	114	114	4201
<b>K</b>	<b>Cumulative Core Strategy Requirement</b>	340	680	1020	1360	1700	1920	2140	2360	2580	2800	2894	2988	3082	3176	3269	3361	3453	3545	3637	3729	3729
<b>L</b>	<b>Cumulative South Hampshire Strategy Requirement</b>	340	680	1020	1360	1700	1952	2204	2456	2717	2978	3113	3248	3382	3507	3631	3745	3859	3973	4087	4201	4201
<b>M</b>	<b>Number of dwellings above or below annual housing requirement</b>	268	206	-22	-152	-1	95	171	-68	43	88	259	173	88	-44	-73	-43	-13	-17	5	-34	N/A
<b>N</b>	<b>Number of dwellings above or below Core Strategy cumulative requirement</b>	268	474	452	300	299	394	565	497	549	646	914	1096	1193	1149	1076	1024	1002	976	972	929	929
<b>O</b>	<b>Number of dwellings above or below South Hampshire Strategy cumulative requirement</b>	268	474	452	300	299	362	501	401	412	468	695	836	893	818	714	640	596	548	522	457	457

**Appendix B7: Replacement Table 5: Monitoring Schedule**

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Policy Name</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Lead Partner</b>	<b>Source</b>
DSP2	Environmental Impact	Limit complaints from residents/businesses  Limit permissions contrary to EA advice	Number of upheld resident complaints  Number of developments permitted in spite of EA objections	FBC	FBC complaints records  EA comments on planning applications
DSP3	Impact on Living Conditions	Limit complaints from residents/businesses	Number of upheld resident complaints	FBC	FBC complaints records
DSP5	Protecting and Enhancing the Historic Environment	Limit development permitted contrary to EH advice.  Limit the loss of designated heritage assets	Number of developments permitted in spite of EA objections  Losses of designated heritage assets Enabling developments permitted	FBC	EH comments on planning applications  Planning applications
DSP6	New residential Development Outside of the Defined Urban Settlement Boundaries	Limit development contrary to policy permitted outside of the Defined Urban Area Settlement Boundaries	Number of units permitted outside the Urban Area Boundaries	FBC	Planning applications
DSP7	Affordable Housing Exception Sites	Allow wholly affordable schemes, of a small scale, outside of existing urban areas to meet CS requirements	Number of affordable units permitted on exceptions sites	FBC	Planning applications
DSP8- DSP10	New development (non-residential) outside of the Defined Urban Settlement Boundaries	Limit non-residential development contrary to policy permitted outside of the Defined Urban Area Settlement Boundaries	Amount of non-residential floorspace permitted outside the Urban Area Boundaries	FBC	Planning applications
DSP11	Development Proposals within Solent Breezes Holiday Park	Limit all year round occupation of existing chalets and mobile homes.	Number of units given all year round occupancy	FBC	Planning applications

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Policy Name</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Lead Partner</b>	<b>Source</b>
DSP12	Public Open Space Allocations	Provision of 15 ha. Of public open space at Daedalus  Provision of 25 ha. Of public open space a Coldeast.	Net gain of public open space at Daedalus  Net gain of public open space at Coldeast	FBC	FBC Leisure department
DSP13	Nature Conservation	Limit loss local nature conservation sites as a result of development	Net gain/loss of local nature conservation sites as a result of development	HCC, HBIC & FBC	HCC/HBIC biodiversity records
DSP14	Supporting Sites for Brent Geese and Waders	Limit loss of important sites for Brent Geese and Waders	Development permitted on sites either “uncertain” or “important” for Brent Geese and Waders	HCC, HBIC & FBC	Planning applications
DSP15	Recreational Disturbance on the Solent Special Protection Areas	Contributions to in combination effects on SPA meet targets set by SRMP	Total contribution collected towards SRMP project	SRMP, FBC	Planning applications
DSP16	Coastal Change Management Areas (CCMA's)	Limit development within the CCMA's	Net gain/loss of dwellings within CCMA's	HCC, FBC	Planning applications, Coastal Change Vulnerability Assessments
DSP17	Existing Employment Sites and Areas	Limit loss of existing employment floorspace to non-economic development uses  Allow for expansion and redevelopment of employment floorspace in existing employment areas	Floorspace lost to non-economic development uses on existing employment sites  Net gain in economic development floorspace on existing employment sites	FBC	Planning Applications
DSP18	Employment Allocations	Delivery of employment allocations  Delivery of new employment floorspace in the urban area	New employment floorspace permitted on allocations identified in DSP18 (net)  New employment floorspace permitted in the urban area (net)	FBC	Planning Applications
DSP19	Boatyards	Limit loss of existing boatyards.	Economic development floorspace permitted on boatyards identified in DSP19	FBC	Planning Applications

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Policy Name</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Lead Partner</b>	<b>Source</b>
DSP20 - DSP22	Retail Development in Fareham Town Centres	Support increase in “town centre” uses development in Primary and Secondary shopping areas.  Maintain low vacancy levels in Primary and Secondary shopping areas.	Net gain in “main town centre uses” floorspace within Primary and Secondary shopping areas.  Vacancy rates in Fareham Town Centre, including primary and secondary shopping areas	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP23	Making the Most Effective Use of Upper Floors	Support use of upper floors in Fareham Town Centre	Net gain/loss of floorspace in upper floors in Fareham Town Centre	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP24	Mix of Uses in the High Street	Retain A1 and A3 uses on Fareham High Street.	Net gain loss of A1/A3 uses in Fareham High Street.	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual).
DSP25	Fareham Waterfront	No development that would adversely impact upon views into and out of Fareham Waterfront	Assessments of development permitted in, and around, Fareham Waterfront	FBC	Visual assessments as part of Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP26	Civic Area	Delivery of Civic Area redevelopment	Amount of residential units and net gain in “main town centre uses” floorspace permitted in the Civic Area	FBC	Masterplan and Planning Applications
DSP27	Market Quay	Delivery of Market Quay redevelopment	Amount of residential units and net gain in “main town centre uses” floorspace permitted in Market Quay	FBC	Masterplan and Planning Applications
DSP28	Fareham Shopping Centre Upper Floors	Delivery of development on upper floors of Fareham Shopping Centre	Amount of residential units or “main town centre uses” floorspace permitted on the upper floors of Fareham Shopping Centre	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP29	Fareham Shopping Centre Improved Link	Delivery of improved link through Fareham Shopping Centre	Completion of improved link through Fareham Shopping Centre	FBC	Visual assessments as part of Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP30	Fareham Station East	Delivery of redevelopment at Fareham Station East	Amount of residential units and net gain in “main town centre uses” floorspace permitted at Fareham Station East	FBC	Masterplan and Planning Applications
DSP31	Russell Place	Delivery of redevelopment at Russell Place	Amount of residential units permitted at Russell Place	FBC	Planning Applications


<b>Policy</b>	<b>Policy Name</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Lead Partner</b>	<b>Source</b>
DSP32	Corner of Trinity Street and Osborn Road	Delivery of redevelopment at the Corner of Trinity Street and Osborn Road	Amount of residential units and net gain in community use floorspace permitted at the Corner of Trinity Street and Osborn Road	FBC	Planning Applications
DSP33	Fareham College	Delivery of redevelopment of Fareham College site	Amount of education floorspace and residential units permitted on Fareham College site	FBC	Planning Applications
DSP34	Development in District Centre, Local Centres and Local Parades	Maintain low vacancy levels in Centres and parades	Vacancy rates in Centres and parades	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP35	Locks Heath District Centre	Support increase in “town centre” uses development in Locks Heath District Centre	Net gain in “main town centre uses” floorspace within Locks Heath District Centre	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP36	Portchester District Centre	Support increase in “town centre” uses development in Portchester District Centre	Net gain in “main town centre uses” floorspace within Portchester District Centre	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP37	Out-of-Town Shopping	Limit additional “main town centre uses” in out-of-town locations	Net gain/loss in “main town centre uses” in out-of-town locations	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP38	Local Shops	Limit the loss of local shops contrary to policy  Allow additional local shops to come forward	Amount of floorspace previously used for “local shops” lost to other uses  Amount of floorspace permitted for local shops	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP39	Hot Food Shops	Limit additional “hot food shops” that are contrary to policy	Net gain in A5 uses in the Borough	FBC	Planning Applications and Retail Health Checks (Bi-Annual)
DSP40	Housing Allocations	Delivery of housing allocations  Meet annual housing targets	Net additional dwellings permitted on sites allocated in DSP40  Net additional dwellings per annum	FBC	Planning Applications
DSP41	Sub-Division of Residential Dwellings	Limit sub-division of dwellings that are contrary to policy	Total number of sub-divided units permitted contrary to policy		Planning Applications
DSP42	Older Persons	Delivery of older persons	Total number of older persons units	FBC	Planning Applications

<b>Policy</b>	<b>Policy Name</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Lead Partner</b>	<b>Source</b>
- DSP44	Housing	accommodation across the Borough  Delivery of older persons accommodation on allocations set out in DSP40	permitted  Number of older persons units permitted on allocated sites		
DSP45	Houses in Multiple Occupation	Limit delivery of houses in multiple occupation that are contrary to policy	Total number of houses in multiple occupation permitted contrary to policy		Planning Applications
DSP46	Self Contained Annexes and Extensions	Limit the use of permitted annexes to be ancillary to the main house	Annex's being used as a separate dwelling to the main house	FBC	Neighbour complaints
DSP47	Gypsies, Travellers and Traveling Showpeople	Delivery of gypsy and traveller allocations  Limit delivery of gypsy and traveller pitches that are contrary to policy	Net additional gypsy and traveller pitches permitted on sites allocated in DSP47  Net additional gypsy and traveller pitches permitted on other sites in the Borough	FBC	Planning Applications
DSP48	Bus Rapid Transit	Delivery of BRT improvements	Completion of BRT improvements as identified in DSP48	HCC	Planning Applications and progress of the scheme
DSP49	Improvements to the Strategic Road Network	Delivery of improvement to strategic road network	Completion of improvements to strategic road network set out in DSP49:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Newgate Lane, Palmerston Drive</li> <li>• Stubbington Bypass</li> <li>• Segensworth roundabout</li> <li>• Station roundabout</li> <li>• Delme roundabout</li> </ul>	HCC	Planning Applications  HCC updates
DSP50	Access to Whiteley	Delivery of improvements to serve access to Whiteley	Completion of remaining section of Rookery Avenue		Planning Applications.
DSP51	Parking	Adequate replacement provision of	Net parking spaces lost/gained as a	FBC	Masterplanning and



<b>Policy</b>	<b>Policy Name</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Lead Partner</b>	<b>Source</b>
		car parking in Civic Area and Market Quay	result of redevelopment of Civic Area and Market Quay		Planning Applications
DSP52	Community Facilities	Limit loss of existing community facilities	Amount of community facility floorspace lost contrary to policy	FBC	Planning Applications
		Delivery of additional community facilities	Amount of Community facility floorspace gained in new facilities		Liaison with the Leisure department.
DSP53	Sports Provision	Limit loss of existing sports pitches	Amount of sports pitches and facilities lost contrary to policy	FBC	Planning Applications
		Delivery of additional sports pitches and facilities	Amount of land (ha) delivered for sports pitches and facilities		Leisure department
DSP54	New Moorings	Limit moorings outside of Mooring Restriction Areas	Number of new moorings permitted outside of Mooring Restriction Areas	FBC	Planning Applications
DSP56	Renewable Energy	Delivery of renewable and low carbon energy	Amount (KWh) of renewable and low carbon energy permitted	FBC	Planning Applications

## Appendix B8: Sites amended from "important" to "uncertain" for Brent Geese and Waders



**FAREHAM**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2014

## Appendix C: Proposed Minor Modifications

These are additional modifications to the Plan which do not directly relate to “soundness” but nonetheless improve the Plan. They include changes arising as a consequence of the proposed Main Modifications, updates, typographical amendments to the supporting text and other clarity changes which the Inspector has determined would not constitute Main Modifications. For clarity, these have been recorded and published and will also form part of the six-week period for Public representations commencing 16 February 2015.

Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Minor Modification	Reason for Change
DAM01	Contents Page	Policy numbers from DSP3-DSP7 renumbered to DSP2-DSP6 to reflect deletion of Policy DSP2. Insertion of new Policy DSP7: Affordable Housing Exceptions Sites	To make policy numbers consecutive.
DAM02	Page 8 Paragraph 1.10	The Council recognises the need for an early review of the Local Plan following adoption of the Development Sites and Policies Plan to extend the time horizon beyond 2026, in line with the Welborne Plan, to 2036. The review of the South Hampshire Strategy to 2036 will inform the early review of Fareham’s Local Plan. This is considered to be the most sound and robust approach to taking account of new evidence, including the SHMA, <u>as well as guidance and evidence produced by the South Hampshire Local Economic Partnership</u> , whilst ensuring the Council fulfils the Duty to Cooperate.	To emphasise that evidence from the Solent LEP will be taken into consideration.
DAM03	Page 17 Policy DSP4	<b>Policy DSP34: Impact on Living Conditions</b>  <b>Development proposals should ensure that there will be no unacceptable adverse impact upon living conditions <del>or</del> of <u>the site or</u> neighbouring development, by way of the loss of sunlight, daylight, outlook and/or privacy.”</b>	For clarity in the Policy wording
DAM04	Page 18 Paragraph 3.27 (second bullet point)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitoring of buildings <u>or other heritage assets</u> at risk <del>of</del><u>through</u> neglect, <del>and</del> decay <u>and other threats, proactively seeking solutions for assets at risk through discussions with owners and willingness to consider positively development schemes that would ensure the repair and maintenance of the asset, and, where appropriate, using its statutory powers;</u></li> </ul>	To recognise that it is not only about monitoring buildings at risk, but the Council to ensure that assets are brought back into use where possible.

Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Minor Modification	Reason for Change
DAM05	Page 26 Footnote	<sup>22</sup> As set out in <del>national standard</del> <u>the GVA Retail Study</u>	For clarification
DAM06	Page 33 Paragraph 4.29	In addition to the sub-regional GI strategy work, Fareham has produced it's own Local Green Infrastructure Strategy, <u>which builds on and incorporates the work undertaken by PUSH</u> . This study sets out the approach to identifying a local network of GI and how this network will be linked with GI being developed at Welborne, and GI proposals <u>at</u> the sub-regional level, including linkages with neighbouring districts. <u>The Fareham GI Strategy includes potential funding streams and a delivery timeframe for each project.</u> Core Strategy Policy CS4: Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity and Geological Conservation will ensure that the network is maintained and enhanced while Policy CS21: Protection and Provision of Open Space provides policy protection for the GI network from inappropriate development that would compromise its integrity.	To reflect publication of Fareham Green Infrastructure Strategy
DAM07	Page 37 Paragraph 4.42	Through <u>the work of the <del>Solent Disturbance and Mitigation Project (SDMP)</del> <u>Solent Recreation Mitigation Partnership (SRMP), a group of 13 Solent Local Planning Authorities, Natural England, RSPB, Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust and Chichester Harbour Conservancy,</u> it has been concluded that any net increase in residential development will give rise to likely significant effects on the Solent Coastal SPA, either 'alone' or 'in combination' with other development proposals. All new residential development will be required to mitigate the negative impact.</u>	In order to update the reference to the previously known SDMP.
DAM08	Page 37 Paragraph 4.43	Work is being undertaken to investigate the option of providing this mitigation through financial contribution to the strategic measures set out in the <del>emerging SDMP</del> <u>SRMP</u> (or as subsequently adopted)...	For clarification
DAM09	Page 58 Paragraph 5.55	The importance of the Town Centre's historic High Street is reflected in its designation as the "Fareham High Street Conservation Area". It offers a blend of retail, offices and residential uses within a unique setting. The enjoyable character of this area is a result of the uses as much as it is the <u>historic environment</u> , the high quality nature of the architecture and the layout of the street.	For clarification

Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Minor Modification	Reason for Change
DAM10	Page 90 Paragraph 5.194	The majority of older people own their property. According to the Wanless Review, "Securing Good Car for Older People", a significant proportion of this group aspire to remain in their current home with care being provided by either a family member or a trained professional. However, the Council also recognises the importance of planning for those who wish to move to specialist types of older people's accommodation ( <del>sometimes referred to generically as Care Homes or Nursing Homes</del> ). The Hampshire Older Persons Housing Strategy (2009) helps define the various types of specialist older people's accommodation; an overview of these definitions is provided below.	To reflect that alternative types do exist.
DAM11	Page 100 Paragraph 6.6	There are currently two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) within the Borough: at Portland Street, Fareham; and at Gosport Road, Fareham. These were required because of severe congestion they both experience during the morning and evening peaks, and the relatively high traffic flows throughout the day. The reconfigured A27 Quay Street roundabout has improved this situation, but there is scope for the air quality within these areas to be further improved if traffic flows on these and adjacent roads could be reduced. <u>The Council will work with the Highway Authority (Hampshire County Council) and other stakeholders to improve traffic flows and introduce other measures to improve air quality within the AQMA areas and elsewhere in the Borough.</u>	To clarify how the Council will improve air quality.
DAM12	Page 103 Paragraph 6.17	Ensuring efficient connectivity between different modes of transport is an important component of the Council's transport strategy. Fareham railway and bus stations are key connectors but additional improvements could be made to enhance their operation and connectivity. The Council will continue to work with the highway authority (Hampshire County Council) and transport operators to facilitate the provision of an enhanced transport interchange in the railway station area to enable buses, cars, pedestrians, <u>cyclists</u> and rail services to operate safely and efficiently together...	For clarification
DAM13	Page 113 Paragraph 6.58	Fareham's coastline is home to a wide variety of moorings due to its proximity to the Solent, one of the best sailing areas in the country. The River Hamble is a very popular and successful yacht harbour, and the upper parts of Fareham Lake include areas for moorings as well as pontoon berths. The area's proximity to the Solent means that pressure for additional moorings is likely to increase in the future. However, this has to be balanced with the sensitive character of the Solent Special Protection Areas (SPAs). <u>In addition, the River Hamble contains the Grace Dieu, which is a protected wreck site of archaeological and historical importance. This site will need to be taken into consideration, and any impacts assessed, when proposing to replace, relocate and/or create new mooring sites.</u>	To clarify that the Grace Dieu needs to be considered when assessing new mooring sites


Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Minor Modification	Reason for Change
DAM14	Page 207 Glossary (amendment)	<b>Main Town Centre Use:</b> Retail development (including warehouse clubs, clubs, <u>garden centres</u> and factory outlet centres); leisure, entertainment facilities, the more intensive sport and recreational uses (including cinemas, restaurants, drive through restaurants, bars and pubs, night-clubs, casinos, health and fitness centres, indoor bowling centres and bingo halls); offices; and arts, culture and tourism development (including theatres, museums, galleries and concert halls, hotels and conference facilities).	For clarification
DAM15	Page 210 Glossary (new addition)	<b><u>SRMP – Solent Recreation Mitigation Partnership:</u></b> <u>A partnership consisting of 13 Solent Local Planning Authorities, Natural England, RSPB, Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust and Chichester Harbour Conservancy. The objective of the group is to produce a strategy to guide the implementation of mitigation measures to ensure that additional recreational activity would not result in harm to the SPAs.</u>	For clarification
DAM16	Page 211 Glossary (new addition)	<b><u>Sustainable Modes of Transport:</u></b> <u>Any efficient, safe and accessible means of transport with overall low impact on the environment, including walking and cycling, low and ultra-low emission vehicles, car sharing and public transport</u>	For clarification
DAM17	Policies Map (Open Space)	Minor boundary amendments to exclude the following areas from designation as open space (maps showing the changes are set out in Appendix C1): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Privately owned land to south of Salterns Road</li> <li>Boundary at to north Kites Croft Employment Area</li> </ul>	For clarification

Ref:	Plan Ref.	Proposed Minor Modification	Reason for Change
DAM18	Policies Map (SINC)	Minor Boundary changes to SINC designations (maps showing the changes are set out in Appendix C2): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seafield Park</li> <li>• Lee-on-the-Solent Beach (new SINC)</li> <li>• Gull Coppice South West (Shetland Rise)</li> <li>• Land South of Dibles Road</li> <li>• Gull Coppice (South-West remnant)</li> <li>• Swanwick Nature Reserve</li> <li>• Chilling-brownwich Wader Roost (new SINC)</li> <li>• Carpenters Copse (new SINC)</li> </ul>	To reflect most up to date information from Hampshire Biodiversity Information Centre



# Appendix C1: Proposed amendments to Open Space boundaries


Privately owned land to south of Salterns Road



<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p>		<p>0 100 200 400 Meters</p>
<p>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Salterns Existing Open Space Removed privately owned land</p>		<p>Key:  Previous Iteration  Existing Open Space</p>
<p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015</small></p>		



North of Kites Croft Employment Area




<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p>		<p>0 100 200 400 m</p>
<p>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Kites Croft Existing Open Space Boundary Alteration</p>		<p>Key: <span style="border: 1px solid blue; display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; vertical-align: middle;"></span> Previous Iteration <span style="background-color: #c8e6c9; display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; vertical-align: middle;"></span> Existing Open Space</p> <p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110, 2015</small></p>



## Appendix C2: Proposed amendments to SINC boundaries




### Seafield Park (amended boundary)



<b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL		0      75      150      300 Meters
		Key:  Previous Iteration     Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation
Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Seafield Park Reduction of site to the North		This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015


*Lee-on-the-Solent Beach (new SINC)*






<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p>		
<p>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Lee-on-the-Solent Beach New SINC (A-724)</p>		<p>Key:  Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</p> <p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015</small></p>




*Gull Coppice SW – Shetland Rise (amended boundary)*



<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p>		<p>0                      62.5                      125                      250 Meters</p>
<p><b>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014)</b> <b>Gull Coppice SW (Shetland Rise)</b> <b>Very minor boundary change</b></p>		<p>Key:  Previous Iteration     Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</p> <p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015</small></p>




*Land South of Dibles Road (amended boundary)*






<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p> <p>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Land South of Dibles Road Very minor boundary changes</p>		<p>0 112.5 225 450 Meters</p>
		<p>Key:  Previous Iteration  Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</p> <p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110, 2015</small></p>



*Gull Coppice SW remnant (amended boundary)*



<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p> 	<p>0                      37.5                      75                      150 Meters</p>
	<p>Key:  Previous Iteration     Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</p>
<p><b>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014)</b> <b>Gull Coppice (South-West Remnant)</b> <b>Boundary extension to the north (A-634)</b></p>	
<p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015</small></p>	


*Swanwick Nature Reserve (amended boundary)*



<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p>		
<p>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Swanwick Nature Reserve Boundary change around Swanwick Reserve Study Centre</p>		<p>Key:  Previous Iteration  Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</p> <p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015</small></p>




*Chilling-Brownwich Wader Roost (new SINC)*







<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p> <p>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Chilling-brownwich Wader Roost (F50) C Boundary change – New addition (A-725)</p>		<p>0 162.5 325 650 Meters</p>
		<p>Key:  Previous Iteration  Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</p> <p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015</small></p>



*Carpenters Copse (new SINC)*



<p><b>FAREHAM</b> BOROUGH COUNCIL</p>		<p>0 50 100 200 Meters</p> 
<p>Schedule of Changes (03.09.2014) Carpenters Copse SINC Boundary change – New addition (A-726)</p>		<p>Key:  Previous Iteration  Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</p> <p><small>This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Licence 100019110. 2015</small></p>

**Appendix D Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations  
Assessment for the Development Sites and Policies Plan**